#### Statistical Properties of Text

Jaime Arguello INLS 509: Information Retrieval jarguell@email.unc.edu

## The Basic IR Process



## **Text-Processing**

<b>Gerard Salton</b> (8 March 1927 in <a href="/wiki/Nuremberg"</p> title="Nuremberg">Nuremberg</a> - 28 August 1995), also known as Gerry Salton, was a Professor of <a href="/wiki/Computer\_Science" title="Computer Science" class="mw-redirect">Computer Science</a> at <a href="/wiki/Cornell\_University" title="Cornell University">Cornell University</a>. Salton was perhaps the leading computer scientist working in the field of <a href="/wiki/Information\_retrieval" title="Information retrieval">information retrieval</a> during his time. His group at Cornell developed the <a href="/wiki/ SMART\_Information\_Retrieval\_System" title="SMART Information Retrieval System">SMART Information Retrieval System</a>, which he initiated when he was at Harvard.

- Mark-up removal
- Down-casing
- Tokenization

## **Text-Processing**

gerard salton 8 march 1978 in nuremberg 28 august 1995 also know as gerry salton was professor of computer science at cornell university salton was perhaps the leading computer scientist working in the field of information retrieval during his time his group at cornell developed the smart information retrieval system which he initiated when he was at harvard

- Our goal is to <u>describe</u> content using content
- Are all these words equally descriptive?
- What are the most descriptive words?
- How might a computer identify these?

## Statistical Properties of Text

- We know that language use if varied
- There are <u>many</u> ways to convey the same information (which makes IR difficult)
- However, are there statistical properties of word usage that are predictable? Across languages? Across modalities? Across genres?

IMDB Corpus internet movie database

- Each document corresponds to a movie, a plot description, and a list of artists and their roles
  - number of documents: 230,721
  - number of term occurrences (tokens): 36,989,629
  - number of unique terms (token-types): 424,035



#### IMDB Corpus term-frequencies

rank	term	frequency	rank	term	frequency
I	the	1586358		year	250151
2	а	854437	12	he	242508
3	and	82209 I	13	movie	241551
4	to	804137	14	her	240448
5	of	657059	15	artist	236286
6	in	472059	16	character	234754
7	is	395968	17	cast	234202
8	i	390282	18	plot	234189
9	his	328877	19	for	207319
10	with	253153	20	that	197723

#### IMDB Corpus term-frequencies

rank	term	frequency	rank	term	frequency
21	on	180760	31	their	116803
22	as	150721	32	they	116113
23	by	138580	33	has	113336
24	himself	138214	34	him	112589
25	but	134017	35	when	106723
26	she	132237	36	I	100475
27	who	132151	37	are	99544
28	an	129717	38	it	98455
29	from	122086	39	man	87115
30	at	118190	40	ii	80583

## IMDB Corpus term-frequencies



rank



## George Kingsley Zipf



Zipf's Law

- Term-frequency decreases <u>rapidly</u> as a function of rank
- How rapidly?
- Zipf's Law:

$$f_t = \frac{k}{r_t}$$

- $f_t$  = frequency (number of times term t occurs)
- $r_t = frequency-based rank of term t$
- $\mathbf{k} =$ frequency of most frequent term
- What does this mean?

$$\frac{1}{N} \times f_t = \frac{1}{N} \times \frac{k}{r_t}$$
$$P_t = \frac{c}{r_t}$$

- N = number of term occurrences in the collection
- $P_t$  = proportion of the collection corresponding to term t
- **c** = proportion of the collection associated with the most frequent term
- For English  $\mathbf{c} = 0.1$  (more or less)

**Zipf's Law**  
$$P_t = \frac{c}{r_t} \qquad \mathbf{c} = 0.1$$

- The most frequent term accounts for 10% of the text
- The second most frequent term accounts for 5%
- The third most frequent term accounts for about 3%
- Together, the top 10 account for about 30%
- Together, the top 20 account for about 36%
- Together, the top 50 account for about 45%
  - that's nearly half the text!
- What <u>else</u> does Zipf's law tell us?

• With some crafty manipulation, it also tells us that the <u>faction</u> of terms that occur n times is given by:



• So, what <u>fraction</u> of the terms occur only once?

• With some crafty manipulation, it also tells us that the <u>faction</u> of terms that occur n times is given by:



- About half the terms occur only once!
- About 75% of the terms occur 3 times or less!
- About 83% of the terms occur 5 times or less!
- About 90% of the terms occur 10 times or less!

• Note: the <u>fraction</u> of terms that occur <u>n times or less</u> is given by:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{1}{i(i+1)} \right)$$

• That is, we have to add the fraction of terms that appear 1, 2, 3, ... up to n times

# Verifying Zipf's Law visualization

Zipf's Law  $f = \frac{k}{r}$ ... still Zipf's Law  $\log(f) = \log(\frac{k}{r})$ 

... still Zipf's Law  $\log(f) = \log(k) - \log(r)$ 

So, if Zipf's law holds, what would we see if we plotted log(f) vs. log(r)?

# Verifying Zipf's Law visualization

Zipf's Law  $f = \frac{k}{r}$ ... still Zipf's Law  $\log(f) = \log(\frac{k}{r})$ 

... still Zipf's Law  $\log(f) = \log(k) - \log(r)$ 

If Zipf's law holds true, we should be able to plot log(f) vs. log(r) and see a straight light with a slope of -1



## Zipf's Law IMDB Corpus



## Does Zipf's Law generalize across languages?



#### Zipf's Law European Parliament: English



 Transcribed speech from proceedings of the European Parliament (Koehn '05)



#### Zipf's Law European Parliament: Spanish



#### Zipf's Law European Parliament: Italian





#### Zipf's Law European Parliament: Portuguese



## Zipf's Law European Parliament: German





#### Zipf's Law European Parliament: Finnish



#### Zipf's Law European Parliament: Hungarian



Yes, but these texts are translations of the same content!

What about <u>different</u> texts? different topics? different genres? different sizes? different complexity?



#### Zipf's Law Alice in Wonderland





#### Zipf's Law Peter Pan





## Zipf's Law Moby Dick





#### Zipf's Law War and Peace





#### Zipf's Law On the Origin of Species





#### Zipf's Law Relativity: The Special and General Theory





## Zipf's Law The King James Bible



- Zipf's Law holds true for:
  - different sizes of text
  - different genres
  - different topics
  - different complexity of content
  - different languages
# Implications of Zipf's Law (1)

gerard salton 8 march 1978 in nuremberg 28 august 1995 also know as gerry salton was professor of computer science at cornell university salton was perhaps the leading computer scientist working in the field of information retrieval during his time his group at cornell developed the smart information retrieval system which he initiated when he was at harvard

- The most descriptive words are those that do <u>not</u> appear in every document
- Most retrieval models exploit this idea
- Zipf's law allows us to <u>automatically</u> identify these nondescriptive terms and treat them differently

# Implications of Zipf's Law (2)

- Ignoring the most frequent terms greatly reduces the size of the index
- The top 50 accounts for about 45% of the collection
- These have very long inverted lists
- Warning: these words <u>can</u> be important in combination with others (e.g., in proximity operators)
- Example queries: "to be or not to be", "the who", "state of the union", "it had to be you"

# Implications of Zipf's Law (3)

- Ignoring the most frequent terms can improve retrieval efficiency (response time)
- The most frequent terms have long inverted lists
- Alternative: leave them in the index and remove them from the query, unless they occur in a proximity operator



# Implications of Zipf's Law (4)

- Ignoring the most frequent terms can improve retrieval effectiveness
- Very frequent terms may not be related to the main content of the doc, but may be a "quirk" of the corpus

rank	term	frequency	rank	term	frequency
	the	1586358	11	year	250151
2	а	854437	12	he	242508
3	and	822091	13	movie	241551
4	to	804137	4	her	240448
5	of	657059	15	artist	236286
6	in	472059	16	character	234754
7	is	395968	17	cast	234202
8	i	390282	18	plot	234189
9	his	328877	9	for	207319
10	with	253153	20	that	197723

# Implications of Zipf's Law (5)

- We've talked about Zipf's Law in the collection
- What about Zipf's Law in queries issued to the search engine?

### Implications of Zipf's Law (5)

#### **AOL Query Log**



# Implications of Zipf's Law (5)

- Same trend: a few queries occur very frequently, while most occur very infrequently
- Opportunity: the system can be tweaked to do well on those queries it is likely to "see" again and again
- Curse: this is only a <u>partial</u> solution.
- In web search, about half the queries ever observed are unique
- How does this affect evaluation?

# Implications of Zipf's Law

 Given Zipf's Law, as a collection grows, how will the size of the vocabulary grow?

# Vocabulary Growth and Heaps' Law

- The number of <u>new</u> words <u>decreases</u> as the size of the corpus <u>increases</u>
- Heaps' Law:

$$v = k \times n^{\beta}$$

- **v** = size of the vocabulary (number of unique words)
- **n** = size of the corpus (number of word-occurrences)
- $k = constant (10 \le k \le 100)$ 
  - not the same as k in Zipf's law
- $\mathbf{B} = \text{constant} (\mathbf{B} \approx 0.50)$



### Heaps' Law IMDB Corpus



# Heaps' Law

- As the corpus grows, the number of <u>new</u> terms increases dramatically at first, but then increases at a <u>slower rate</u>
- Nevertheless, as the corpus grows, new terms will <u>always</u> be found (even if the corpus becomes huge)
  - there is no end to vocabulary growth
  - invented words, proper nouns (people, products), misspellings, email addresses, etc.

# Implications of Heaps' Law

- Given a corpus and a <u>new</u> set of data, the number of new index terms will depend on the size of the corpus
- Given more data, new index terms will always be required
- This may also be true for controlled vocabularies (?)
  - Given a corpus and a new set of data, the requirement for new <u>concepts</u> will depend on the size of the corpus
  - Given more data, new <u>concepts</u> will always be required

## Term Co-occurrence

- So far, we've talked about statistics for <u>single</u> terms
- What about statistics for <u>pairs</u> of terms?
- Term co-occurrence considers the extent to which different terms tend to appear <u>together</u> in text
- Does knowledge that one term appears, tell us whether another term is likely to appear?

# Term Co-occurrence Example

#### war vs. peace

#### **Books Ngram Viewer** Google labs Graph these case-sensitive comma-separated phrases: war,peace with smoothing of 0 between 1800 and 2000 from the corpus English ÷ Search lots of books war peace 0.06000% 0.05500% 0.05000% 0.04500% 0.04000% 0.03500% 0.030009 0.02500% 0.02000 0.01500% 0.01000% 0.00500% 0.00000%L\_\_\_\_\_ 1820 1840 1860 1880 1900 1920 1940 1960 1980 2000

(The Google Books N-gram Corpus)

#### Term Co-occurrence Example chocolate vs. vanilla

#### Google labs

**Books Ngram Viewer** 

Orapir inc		3011311140	comma-separated priras	
between	1800	and 200	0 from the corpus En	nglish vith smoothing of 0 +.
Search	lots of bo	ooks		
				chocolate vanilla
7500%				
7000%				
6500%				
6000%				
5500%				
5000%				
4500%				
4000%				
3500%				MAN MANN
3000%				
2500%	N 1			$\Lambda \Lambda $
2000%	mit	$\mathbb{M}\mathbb{A}$	M₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩	
1500%	' \\	k.		$M_{\rm e} = M_{\rm e} M_{\rm e} M_{\rm e} M_{\rm e}$
1000%	· ·			a shank how how many
0500%	A	s-hr	hanne	
0000%		320	1840 1860	1880 1900 1920 1940 1960 1980

(The Google Books N-gram Corpus)

#### **In-class Exercise**



every document falls under one of these quadrants

total # of documents N = a + b + c + d

Develop your own co-occurrence statistic!

A Few Important Concepts in Probability and Statistics

(Some material courtesy of Andrew Moore: <u>http://www.autonlab.org/tutorials/prob.html</u>)

# Discrete Random Variable

- A is a discrete random variable if:
  - A describes an event with a finite number of possible outcomes (discrete vs. continuous)
  - A describes and event whose outcome has some degree of uncertainty (random vs. pre-determined)
- A is a boolean-valued random variable if it describes an event with two outcomes: TRUE or FALSE
- Can you name some examples of boolean-valued random variables?

## Boolean-Valued Random Variables Examples

- A = it will rain tomorrow
- A = the outcome of a coin-flip will be heads
- A = you will be win the lottery in your lifetime
- A = the word "retrieval" will occur in a document

# Probabilities

- **P(A=TRUE)**: the probability that the outcome is **TRUE** 
  - the probability that it will rain tomorrow
  - the probability that the coin will show "heads"
  - the probability that "retrieval" appears in the doc
- **P(A=FALSE)**: the probability that the outcome is **FALSE** 
  - the probability that it will NOT rain tomorrow
  - the probability that the coin will show "tails"
  - the probability that "retrieval" does NOT appear in the doc

# Estimating the Probability of an Outcome

- P(heads=TRUE)
- P(rain tomorrow=TRUE)
- P(you have the flu=TRUE)
- P("retrieval" in a document=TRUE)

- Use data to <u>estimate</u> the probability of an outcome
- Data = observations of previous outcomes of the event
- What is the probability that the coin will show "heads"?
- Statistical Estimation Example:

- Use data to <u>estimate</u> the probability of an outcome
- Data = observations of previous outcomes of the event
- What is the probability that the coin will show "heads"?
- Statistical Estimation Example:
  - To gather data, you flip the coin 100 times
  - You observe 54 "heads" and 46 "tails"
  - ► What would be your estimation of P(heads=TRUE)?

- What is the probability that it will rain tomorrow?
- Statistical Estimation Example:
  - To gather data, you keep a log of the past 365 days
  - You observe that it rained on 93 of those days
  - ► What would be your estimation of P(rain=TRUE)?

- What is the probability that "retrieval" occurs in a document?
- Statistical Estimation Example:
  - To gather data, you take a sample of 1000 documents
  - ► You observe that "retrieval" occurs in 2 of them.
  - What would be your estimation of P("retrieval" in a document=TRUE)?
- Usually, more data leads to a more accurate estimate!

# Joint and Conditional Probability

- For simplicity, P(A=TRUE) is typically written as P(A)
- P(A,B): the probability that outcome A <u>and</u> B both true
- P(A|B): the probability that outcome A is true given prior knowledge that B is true

# Chain Rule

- $P(A, B) = P(A|B) \times P(B)$
- Example:
  - probability of <u>rain</u> and <u>cloudy</u>
  - probability of <u>cloudy</u>
  - probability <u>rain</u> given prior knowledge that it's <u>cloudy</u>

• Events A and B are independent if:

 $P(A,B) = P(A) \times P(B)$ 

• Events A and B are independent if:



- Events A and B are independent if the outcome of A tells us nothing about the outcome of B (and vice-versa)
- Can you think of examples of two events that are (in)dependent?

• Events A and B are independent if:



- Events A and B are independent if the outcome of A tells us nothing about the outcome of B (and vice-versa)
- Can you think of examples of two events that are (in)dependent?

- Suppose A = rain tomorrow and B = rain today
  - Are these likely to be independent?
- Suppose A = rain tomorrow and B = coin flip lands 'tails'
  - Are these likely to be independent?

# Mutual Information

$$MI(w_1, w_2) = \log\left(\frac{P(w_1, w_2)}{P(w_1)P(w_2)}\right)$$

- P(w<sub>1</sub>, w<sub>2</sub>): probability that words w<sub>1</sub> and w<sub>2</sub> both appear in a text
- P(w<sub>1</sub>): probability that word w<sub>1</sub> appears in a text, with or without w<sub>2</sub>
- $P(w_2)$ : probability that word  $w_2$  appears in a text, with or without  $w_1$
- The definition of "a text" is up to you (e.g., a sentence, a paragraph, a document)

# Mutual Information

$$MI(w_1, w_2) = \log\left(\frac{P(w_1, w_2)}{P(w_1)P(w_2)}\right)$$

- If P(w<sub>1</sub>, w<sub>2</sub>) = P(w<sub>1</sub>) P(w<sub>2</sub>), it means that the words are <u>independent</u>: knowing that one appears conveys <u>no</u> <u>information</u> that the other one appears
- If P(w<sub>1</sub>, w<sub>2</sub>) > P(w<sub>1</sub>) P(w<sub>2</sub>), it means that the words are <u>not</u> <u>independent</u>: knowing that one appears <u>makes it more</u> <u>probable</u> that the other one appears

#### Mutual Information estimation (using documents as units of analysis)



every document falls under one of these quadrants

total # of documents N = a + b + c + d

#### Mutual Information estimation (using documents as units of analysis)



every document falls under one of these quadrants

total # of documents N = a + b + c + d

$$P(w_1) = (a + c) / N$$
  
 $P(w_2) = (a + b) / N$ 

#### Mutual Information IMDB Corpus

• Word-pairs with highest mutual information (1-20)

wl	w2	MI	wl	w2	MI	
francisco	san	6.619	dollars	million	5.437	
angeles	los	6.282	brooke	rick	5.405	
prime	minister	ninister 5.976 teach		lesson	5.370	
united	states	5.765	canada	canadian	5.338	
9		5.639	un	ma	5.334	
winning	award	5.597	nicole	roman	5.255	
brooke	taylor	5.518	china	chinese	5.23 I	
con	un	5.514	japan	japanese	5.204	
un	la	5.512	belle	roman	5.202	
belle	nicole	5.508	border	mexican	5.186	72
## Mutual Information IMDB Corpus

• Word-pairs with highest mutual information (20-40)

wl	w2	MI	wl	w2	MI	
belle	lucas	5.138	brooke	eric	4.941	_
nick	brooke	5.136	serial	killer	4.927	
loved	ones	5.116	christmas	eve	4.911	
hours	24	5.112	italy	italian	4.909	
magazine	editor	5.103	un	I	4.904	
е	fianc	5.088	photo	shoot	4.866	
newspaper	editor	5.080	ship	aboard	4.856	
donna	brooke	5.064	al	un	4.800	
ed	un	5.038	plane	flight	4.792	
mexican	mexico	5.025	nicole	victor	4.789	73

## Mutual Information IMDB Corpus

• Word-pairs with highest mutual information (1-20)

wl	w2	MI	wl	w2	MI		
francisco	Not a	Not a perfect metric! Subject to					
angeles	subtle	subtleties in the collection (these					
prime	<sub>m</sub> are pa	are pairs of semantically unrelated					
united		Spanish words)					
9		5.639	un	ma	5.334		
winning	award	5.597	nicole	roman	5.255		
brooke	taylor	5.518	china	chinese	5.23 I		
con	un	5.514	japan	japanese	5.204		
un	la	5.512	belle	roman	5.202		
belle	nicole	5.508	border	mexican	5.186		

74

# Implications of Term Co-occurrence

- Potential to improve search
  - word-variants co-occur: canada, canadian
  - semantically-related terms co-occur: plane, flight
  - phrases describe important concepts: san francisco
- Multiple paths to improvement
  - document representation: conflating variants, adding related terms, indexing phrases
  - information need representation: conflating variants, adding related terms, proximity operators
  - search assistance and interactions: query suggestions

# Implications of Term Co-occurrence (1)

Google

PC repair



## Computer Repair | PC Repair Directory

www.pcrepairdirectory.com/ - Cached

Use the PCRepairDirectory to find local **computer repair** business listings and services for **PC repair** in your area. **Laptop repair**, virus removal and other services ...

## Computer Repair Directory Q

### www.computerrepairdirectory.com/ - Cached

COMPUTER REPAIR. Need Help? Find The Best PC Repair Shops across the Country. Find a Technician near you Now! More than 2000 Computer Repair ...

## Fix My Pc FREE – Is Your Computer Running Slow?

#### www.fixmypcfree.com/ - Cached

Fix your computer yourself of any problems and situations that can arise. Simple tips and information for anyone to use, retake control of your computer.

## Home - Franklin P. C. Repair ® Computer Repair and Virus ... 9

### www.franklinpcrepair.com/ - Cached

Whether it's Home or Business PC repairs, installation of new computers, upgrades, advice or Virus Removal, we offer a quality service at competitive prices. ...

### Mobile Computer Wizard- San Diego Computer Repair, PC Repair ... Q mobilecomputerwizard.com/ - Cached

Mobile Computer Wizard: Fast, Reliable Computer Tech Support for San Diego County, including downtown, Oceanside, La Jolla, El Cajon, Escondido. We fix ...

## Implications of Term Co-occurrence (2)

WEB IMAGES VIDEOS MAPS NEWS MORE

bing

### PC Repair

ρ

### Pc Repair - Fast & Easy Computer Repair. www.Staples.com/TechServices \$69.99 PC Diagnostic at Staples®. Find a Store Near You · One Year Protection Upgrade · All Tech Support Services

PC Repair Training | pennfoster.edu www.pennfoster.edu Learn PC Repair at home with expert training from Penn Foster.

Free PC Tune Up - You don't need a new PC ...

www.pcpitstop.com/freepctuneup Your old PC needs a free tune up.

### Repair PC Problems | MyFasterPC.com

MyFasterPC.com/Repair Let My Faster PC scan and repair your computer. As Seen On TV.

### Local Computer Repair

#### www.pcrepairdirectory.com \*

Find local **computer repair** service in your area. Local **PC repair** businesses for computers, laptops, viruses and more.

### PC Fix Cleaner Free Download PC Fix Cleaner Software - 2013 ...

#### pc-fix-cleaner.com \*

Download PC Fix Cleaner software and repair for free your PC in 5 Minutes! PC Fix Cleaner is the solution

### Related searches for PC Repair

Free Computer Repair Download	Do It-Yourself Laptop Repair
Free Computer Repair	Free PC Clean Up
Local Computer Repair	Best PC Repair Software

# Take-Home Message

- Language use is highly varied
- However, there are statistical properties of language that are highly consistent across domains and languages
- A few terms occur very frequently and most terms occur very infrequently
- Term co-occurrences can be used to identify semantically related terms and phrases
- These statistical properties of text make search easier
- Learn them, love them, and use them to your advantage in doing automatic analysis of text