Abstract
The DigCCurr (Digital Curation Curriculum) project is developing a graduate-level curricular framework, course modules, and experiential components to prepare students for digital curation in various environments. This paper summarizes an initial draft and guiding principles behind a matrix of digital curation knowledge and competencies, which are acting as the basis for our curriculum design efforts.

Introduction
In much of the developed world, digital information is becoming increasingly interwoven into daily life. The volume of digital data being created, transferred, and used is enormous. [1] A recent news item the popular press estimated that “161 exabytes of digital data — or about 161 billion GB — were generated in 2006. And the amount is expected to rise fast.” [2] Other estimates of digital data output provide evidence of the enormity of data generated. While becoming dependent on digital tools and the data we produce and consume from them, few individuals or organizations are adequately prepared to take on the long-term care of digital assets.

Long-term access to and use of meaningful, reliable, and authentic electronic records and digital objects is essential to the future of science, commerce, government, education, and cultural heritage. [3-6] Software and hardware obsolescence, media fragility, benign neglect, the expense of metadata creation, and intellectual property rights place many of these materials at risk. Facilitation of on-going access will require concerted, appropriate digital curation efforts. Peter Lyman and Brewster Kahle note that often “the pace of technical change makes digital information disappear before we realize the importance of preserving it.” [7]

A decade of work in digital preservation and access has resulted in an emerging and complex life-cycle constellation of strategies, technological approaches, and activities now termed “digital curation,” [8-9] but relatively few new educational programs to prepare individuals to work as curators of trustworthy digital repositories. To date, most education and training opportunities focusing on digital preservation and, more recently, curation, have taken the form of one- to five-day workshops. [see, for example, 10-16] A small number of graduate level digital curation programs are emerging including those at the University of Glasgow and the University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign. [17-18]

The DigCCurr project [19], funded by the Institute of Museum and Library Services (IMLS), is a collaboration of the School of Information and Library Science (SILS) at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (UNC-CH) and the U.S. National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). We are developing a graduate-level curricular framework, course modules, and experiential components to prepare students for digital curation in a wide variety of organizations and with an ever-increasing and changing corpus of digital materials and data formats. DigCCurr brings together an Advisory Board of experts from Australia, Canada, Italy, the Netherlands, New Zealand, the United Kingdom and the United States. The grant includes funding for a set of Digital Curation Fellows who will pursue degrees at SILS beginning in fall 2007. Repositories at UNC will provide practical experience opportunities for the Fellows. Two international symposia will bring the issues of digital curation and this curriculum to the attention of librarians, archivists, museum professionals, data curators, scholars, and the general public.

Project Design and Methods
DigCCurr is a three-year project, which began in July 2006. We are collecting a diverse set of data, which we are analyzing using qualitative analysis software. Our research design is iterative, with numerous opportunities to gain feedback on and revise both our findings and curriculum materials. Our approach is to blend models, guidance documents and theoretical frameworks concerning digital curation and preservation with insights from practitioners who are creating curation processes in their repositories. By exploring what the first generation of digital curators are doing and discussing with digital preservation researchers what challenges lay ahead, we will derive a proposed body of knowledge, skills, and perspectives that educational programs should provide to their students. We recognize that a digital curation curriculum can never be considered finalized but must instead change in relationship to the world it serves.

At the start of our project (July 16-21), Cal Lee, John Schaefer, and Helen Tibbo, participated in the workshop entitled, Digital Preservation Management: Implementing Short-Term Strategies for Long-Term Problems, run by the Cornell University. [12c] We gained many insights to inform our curriculum development, and the binder of supporting materials has also served as a valuable resource that reflected the state-of-the-art of continuing education offerings for digital preservation as of the summer of 2006.

We are obtaining and analyzing a variety of documentary sources. We have carried out, and continue to supplement, a detailed review of existing literature relevant to digital curation and digital curation education. Within the scope of our data collection are also syllabi from courses and workshops (at UNC and elsewhere), job advertisements for positions related to digital curation, workshop and other continuing education opportunities in digital curation, and relevant standards, tools, services, and research projects.

The 17 members or our Advisory Board are serving as valuable sources of data and guidance. We have conducted semi-
structured interviews with all Board members, gaining their insights on the following issues:

- How to define "digital curation"
- The main functions or activities necessary for digital curation
- Main topics to cover in a digital curation curriculum
- Distinct roles that are needed in digital curation (and associated curriculum requirements)
- Sorts of environments in which graduates of a digital curation program should be able to work (and associated curriculum requirements)
- What they would look for in a good candidate, if hiring for a digital curation job
- Important skills or knowledge required for digital curation that may be lacking within the professions engaged in the work (and associated curriculum requirements)
- Characteristics of a good practical field experience

We plan to conduct an online survey with our Board members in order to clarify, refine and identify priorities within our matrix of digital curation knowledge and competencies (see below).

On April 18-20, 2007, we will host an international symposium on digital curation in Chapel Hill.[20] The program features panel discussions and presentations by internationally recognized experts from a broad range of academic and national libraries, data centers, information schools, corporations, and government entities. The symposium will provide a public forum and visibility for digital curation issues. It will also serve as a valuable source of data for the DigCCurr project. In addition to coding and analyzing the presentations and papers, we will conduct two sets of focus group discussions during the symposium. After the symposium, we will meet with members of the Advisory Board, sharing and soliciting comments on our products to that point.

Throughout the course of the DigCCurr project, we will be disseminating and gaining feedback on project deliverables at a diversity of professional conferences. We will also host a second digital curation symposium in Chapel Hill in Fall 2008, which will allow us to share our results, advance a community of practice surrounding digital curation, and discuss a professional agenda for next steps. Sustainability and updating of the educational products will be supported through programmatic adoption at UNC and by encouraging widespread dissemination and use at other educational institutions worldwide.

Matrix of Digital Curation Knowledge and Competencies

Based on a review of existing literature, other documentary materials, and semi-structured interviews with the members of our Advisory Board, we have developed a 6-dimensional matrix for identifying and organizing the material to be covered in a digital curation curriculum. Each unit of curriculum content will address one or more dimensions. The six dimensions are:

1. Type of Resource
   - Level of Aggregation
   - Level of Abstraction
   - Medium
   - Format
   - Genre

2. Functions and Skills [see below]

3. Professional, Disciplinary or Institutional/Organizational Context
   - Professional Context
   - Disciplinary Context
   - Institutional/Organizational Context

4. Mandates, Values and Principles
   - Ethics
   - Legal Requirements
   - Standards
   - Interoperability and Sustainability Requirements

5. Prerequisite Knowledge
   - Terminology
   - Characteristics of Technologies

6. Lifecycle Stage
   - Pre-Creation Design and Planning
   - Creation
   - Primary Use Environment (Active Use)
   - Transfer to Archives
   - Archives (Preservation Environment)
   - Transfer Copies or Surrogates to Secondary Use Environment
   - Secondary Use Environment

A curriculum unit can focus on a dimension in general or specifically as it intersects with one or more other dimensions. For example, one could teach a general unit on digital preservation (main considerations and practices), but one might also want to teach a unit specifically on preservation of video, images, or text; during the active use stage or within the archival environment; within a corporate recordkeeping context, or within a collecting repository environment or some combination thereof.

Guiding Principles

Our design of both the matrix and curriculum units is guided by a set of basic principles.

Principle 1: Build on an installed based

We are drawing from both existing and emerging models, frameworks, guidance documents and educational initiatives. Starting with these educational materials we are seeking input from the professional curation community to anchor our program in the reality of the work place. There are many streams of professional activity that can feed into a strong digital curation curriculum. Additionally, we are monitoring and drawing from ongoing research projects worldwide to include the challenges curators face now and in the future. The DigCCurr2007 symposium in April and the intensive guidance from our Advisory Board members will both provide extremely valuable information on recent initiatives and likely future trends.
**Principle 2: Digital curation activities span the entire lifecycle of digital resources**

Responsible digital curation is much more than preservation of bits. It reaches into both the creator/producer and user environments associated with collections of digital objects. The foundational vision of the Digital Curation Centre (DCC) in the UK is that “long term stewardship of digital assets is the responsibility of everyone in the digital information value chain” and that “the maintenance, usability and survival of digital resources depends on regular planned interventions; care needs to be taken at conception, at creation, during use, and as use transitions to lower levels” [9]. As discussed in the JISC e-Science Curation Report (2003), digital curation, spanning the lifecycle of digital materials, is broader than the function of digital archiving. Digital preservation is, in turn, a component of digital curation, spanning the entire lifecycle of digital materials. The Science Curation Report identified a limited, high-level set of lifecycle stages: Pre-creation, Creation, Primary Use Environment, Transfer Copies or Surrogates to Secondary Use Environment, Transfer to Archives, Archives Management, Preservation Planning and Implementation. This allows us to map our lifecycle stages to existing domain-specific lifecycle models. Second, many activities that are important to digital curation (e.g. classification, selection, metadata extraction, characterization, file format transformations) can occur at more than one stage of the information lifecycle. For example, many of the activities that the Reference Model for an Open Archival Information System (OAIS) [22] places within the Ingest function can be important and valuable to carry out, not only during transfer to an archives, but also during system design, creation, active use, within the preservation environment, during transfer to a secondary use environment and within the secondary use environment.

We have identified and analyzed numerous lifecycle models, which often include considerable detail about the processes and workflow of a particular domain or context. From these models we have identified a limited, high-level set of lifecycle stages: Pre-creation Design and Planning, Creation, Primary Use Environment (Active Use), Transfer to Archives, Archives (Preservation Environment), Transfer Copies or Surrogates to Secondary Use Environment, and Secondary Use Environment. (See Fig. 1.)

**Principle 3: Keep lifecycle stages simple, and move complexity into the functions**

We have adopted this principle for two major reasons. First, this allows us to map our lifecycle stages to existing domain-specific lifecycle models. Second, many activities that are important to digital curation (e.g. classification, selection, metadata extraction, characterization, file format transformations) can occur at more than one stage of the information lifecycle. For example, many of the activities that the Reference Model for an Open Archival Information System (OAIS) [22] places within the Ingest function can be important and valuable to carry out, not only during transfer to an archives, but also during system design, creation, active use, within the preservation environment, during transfer to a secondary use environment and within the secondary use environment.

We have identified and analyzed numerous lifecycle models, which often include considerable detail about the processes and workflow of a particular domain or context. From these models we have identified a limited, high-level set of lifecycle stages: Pre-creation Design and Planning, Creation, Primary Use Environment (Active Use), Transfer to Archives, Archives (Preservation Environment), Transfer Copies or Surrogates to Secondary Use Environment, and Secondary Use Environment. (See Fig. 1.)

**Principle 4: Build from modules, rather than entire courses**

We have identified several categories of digital curation knowledge and competency that should be addressed within a curriculum, which either do not warrant an entire course or may not fit well into existing courses or areas of instructor competence. Two such categories that have emerged from our analysis are legal requirements (e.g. compliance with Sarbanes-Oxley, Freedom of Information Act, intellectual property rights, and copyright) and understanding technologies (e.g. basic data structures, operating systems, storage environments). We are exploring approaches for integrating small modules into the curriculum that address such particular topics. This can be a challenge in many university environments, in which the 3- or 4-credit-hour course is the basic unit for administering curricula. We are identifying and developing relationships with an international set of individuals who have expertise in areas represented by the modules of the digital curation curriculum. We are exploring options for the experts to provide instruction through a combination of physical visits and the use of remote instructional technology.

**Principle 5: Emphasize core, generalizable modules**

If each of the six dimensions in the matrix had ten categories of values (rows), there would be one million individual cells. Obviously, no curriculum could devote attention to all of the cells individually. The aim should be to teach units that address a large number of cells simultaneously, while also supporting a drilling down into specific cells, when necessary (both through coursework and practical field experiences).

**Functions and Skills**

During the first phase of DigCCurr, we have devoted considerable attention to the development of a taxonomy of functions and skills. This is an iterative process; we expect that the taxonomy will undergo significant revision based on further analysis of received comments and collected literature, syllabi, and job postings. Figure 2 characterizes the current draft of our taxonomy.

![Fig. 2. Taxonomy of Digital Curation Functions and Skills](image-url)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First-Level Functions and Skills:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advocacy and Outreach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis and Characterization of Digital Objects/Packages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis and Evaluation of Producer Information Environments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archival Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration, Coordination and Contracting with External Actors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description, Organization and Intellectual Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destruction and Removal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifying, Locating and Harvest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservation Planning and Implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchasing and Licensing of Content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference and User Support Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal from Archive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection, Appraisal, and Disposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systems Engineering and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformation of Digital Objects/Packages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use, Reuse and Adding Value by User</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation and Quality Control of Digital Objects/Packages</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Meta-Level Functions and Skills:
Analysis and Documentation of Curation Functions
Evaluation and Audit of Curation Functions
Research and Development to Support Curation Functions

Digital Curation Fellows and Practical Field Experiences

The DigCCurr grant is funding five Digital Curation Fellows, who will build on what they learn in the curriculum by participating in practical field experiences designed by our campus project partners. [23] The field experiences will provide Fellows with the opportunity to contribute to the management of a wide range of digital objects including public records, cultural heritage assets, teaching materials, and research data. These Fellowships will serve to integrate the curriculum and the experiential components, advertise the existence of the programs at SILS, draw attention to the need for digital curation, and provide an essential empirical testing ground for the viability and appropriateness of the curriculum content in specific contexts.

Integration into Curriculum at SILS

In addition to developing products to be used by digital curation educators and professionals more broadly, the DigCCurr project is also developing and setting the groundwork for a digital curation curriculum at the UNC School of Information and Library Science. As a test bed for the curriculum, we will confront a number of challenges and opportunities. Our analysis of course syllabi at SILS has identified a large number of valuable elements relevant to digital curation, which are already being taught in our school. We have also been fortunate to have a complementary project -- developing a framework and set of modules for a digital library curriculum at the UNC School of Information and Library Science. As a test bed for the curriculum, we will confront a number of challenges and opportunities. Our analysis of course syllabi at SILS has identified a large number of valuable elements relevant to digital curation, which are already being taught in our school.
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