In this version of INLS 520, we will focus on fundamental concepts of organizing systems that transcend the particular implementations or professional contexts. We will not restrict ourselves to the traditional concerns of information science, narrowly defined, because organizing systems are not so restricted.

You will be able to use what you learn in INLS 520 in all information professions. INLS 520 will help you to understand, use, explain, create, assess, and interrogate any organizing system.

Although organizing systems are simple in the abstract, they become incredibly complex in practice. This is because organizing systems attempt to make an unruly, messy, and ambiguous world appear systematic and orderly—and, often, the world isn’t having it. For INLS 520, this means that easy answers are impossible, and you cannot find refuge in following rules. But this is what makes organizing information fun—and fascinating.

### Learning Objectives

At the end of this course, you will understand:

- Basic elements that constitute the structure and arrangement of organizing systems:
  - Things (entities, resources, items, ..).
  - Categories (attributes, dimensions, properties, elements, ..).
  - Values (terms, tags, descriptors, ..).
  - Relationships (between things, between categories, between values).
- The role of categorization in language and
- The ubiquity of organizing systems and categorization processes and their complex integration throughout our forms of life—social, cultural, scientific,
- The inherent instability, ambiguity, and arbitrariness of any organizing system.

At the end of this course, you will be able to:

- Design an organizing system.
- Implement an organizing system
- Explain how others should implement it.
- Assess an organizing system.
- Explain an organizing system.

### Flexibility in uncertain times
The continuing COVID-19 pandemic has affected everyone. We are all operating under a multitude of stressors. I have attempted to adjust the course in a way that I think will be manageable. But if we need to change things around as the semester proceeds, we can. If, at any time during the semester, your personal circumstances require accommodation, do not hesitate to ask for whatever you need.

**Course Structure**

This is an in-person course (although we will be meeting online for the first three weeks due to covid precautions). Our time together will be oriented around discussion. Generally, the first half of class (2-3:30) will focus on the week’s assigned readings, while the second half of class (3:45-4:45) will be oriented around project work.

The course is divided into 14 units. Each unit will begin after our class session and continue until the following class session. Each unit will incorporate a variety of activities: readings, lectures, discussions, games, work on one of your three class projects. You will perform most of the work for each unit before we meet in class.

Material for each unit will have its own Module page in Canvas. All the activities for the unit will be documented on its page. When the new unit begins, access the unit page on Sakai and follow the instructions.

**Class Sessions**

Class sessions will primarily involve participatory activities: discussions, games, questions and answers, project work. (I have already recorded the lectures; we will not have formal lectures during our class sessions.)

To ensure that our sessions run smoothly, preparatory materials will be included as part of the unit. For example, if we have a game, there will usually be an individual part for you to complete before the class session, and then we will continue with a group part together. Discussion questions will be available in advance, so that you are ready to talk about them. There shouldn’t be any surprises.

Some of our work in class sessions will take place in groups: in discussion groups and in project groups. At our first class session, we will talk about how you would prefer these groups to work. Some options include:

1. One consistent group for everything: all discussions, games, and project work.
2. Two consistent groups: one group for discussions and games, and a different group for project work.
3. A consistent group for project work, and different discussion groups each class session.
4. Different groups for each session.

We will also talk about your preferences for my involvement in discussion: whether I visit discussion groups, and how I do so, if I do.

**No busy work policy**
No one wants to do boring things for no reason, including me! From my perspective, everything that we do in this class has a purpose that requires thinking. If anything seems like busy work, I probably haven’t articulated the purpose well. Be sure to ask for help, so that I can better explain what the task is supposed to achieve.

**Contacting Me**

For specific, concrete questions, e-mail is the most reliable means of contact for me. During the week (Monday 9 a.m. – Friday 5 p.m.) You should receive a response within 24 hours. Weekends or holidays might take 2 or 3 days. If you send a message on Friday and do not receive a response by Monday at noon, please follow up. Please keep this in mind when you are scheduling your own activities.

It is always helpful if your e-mail includes a targeted subject line that begins with “INLS 520.”

For more complicated questions, conversation, or assignment feedback, make a Zoom appointment via my calendly link (https://calendly.com/megan-winget/15min).

You are welcome to call me by my first name (“Megan”). However, you may also use “Dr. Winget” or “Professor Winget” if that is more comfortable. Either is fine. “Ma’am,” “Miss,” and “Mrs.” and the un-named “Professor” are not appropriate.

**Student Support**

Please utilize me as a resource if you are having difficulty with the material or there are outside circumstances impeding your ability to learn (for example, housing insecurity, food insecurity, emotional insecurity, or need physical or mental health services). **You should also know that I am a mandatory reporter if you choose to confide in me.**

**Mental Health:** CAPS is strongly committed to addressing the mental health needs of a diverse student body through timely access to consultation and connection to clinically appropriate services, whether for short or long-term needs. Go to their website: https://caps.unc.edu/ or visit their facilities on the third floor of the Campus Health Services building for a walk-in evaluation to learn more. (source: Student Safety and Wellness Proposal for EPC, Sep 2018)

**Basic needs security.** Any student who faces challenges affording groceries or accessing sufficient food to eat every day, or who lacks a safe and stable place to live, and believes this may affect their performance in this course, is encouraged to contact the Office of the Dean of Students. Furthermore, please notify me if you are comfortable in doing so. This will enable me to provide other resources I may know of. Other resources you may find helpful:

- Student Support: Office of the Dean of Students
- Carolina Cupboard: Community Food Pantry (on-campus)
- Groceries for Neighbors in Need

**Accommodations:** The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill facilitates the implementation of reasonable accommodations, including resources and services, for students with disabilities, chronic medical conditions, a temporary disability, or pregnancy complications resulting in barriers to fully accessing University courses, programs, and activities. Accommodations are
determined through the Office of Accessibility Resources and Service (ARS) for individuals with documented qualifying disabilities in accordance with applicable state and federal laws. See the ARS Website for contact information: https://ars.unc.edu or email ars@unc.edu.

**Title IX:** Any student who is impacted by discrimination, harassment, interpersonal (relationship) violence, sexual violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking is encouraged to seek resources on campus or in the community. Please contact the Director of Title IX Compliance (Adrienne Allison – Adrienne.allison@unc.edu), Report and Response Coordinators in the Equal Opportunity and Compliance Office (reportandresponse@unc.edu), Counseling and Psychological Services (confidential), or the Gender Violence Services Coordinators (gvsc@unc.edu; confidential) to discuss your specific needs. Additional resources are available at safe.unc.edu.

**Diversity & Inclusion:** My intention as an educator is to provide a safe and inclusive environment for all learners. I work hard to include course materials and activities that promote diversity but Information & Library Science (and most disciplines in the academy) were founded by those from a privileged background. As a cisgender, straight, white, able-bodied woman, my standpoint may exclude important points of view. It is also possible that I will make unintentional mistakes. If this happens, please come, and speak to me directly. I promise to acknowledge your concerns as valid and learn from critiques. Likewise, I’m always looking for new scholarship by women, BIPOC, LGBTQ+, and non-Western thinkers – please share resources you think would be useful. Suggestions and improvements are encouraged and appreciated.

**Academic Honesty:** Academic honesty and trustworthiness are important to all of us as individuals and are encouraged and promoted by the honor system. More information is available at http://www.unc.edu/depts/honor/honor.html. The web site identified above contains all policies and procedures pertaining to the student honor system. We encourage your full participation and observance of this important aspect of the University.

**Grades & Assessment**

There are four assessments in this course:

- Schema
- Taxonomy
- Organizing system explanation
- Participation

For each project, we will meet, one-on-one for project feedback that will provide my sense of the project’s strengths, as well as its opportunities for improvement. **My assessment will be based around each project’s criteria for success, as listed in each project’s detailed instructions.**

If, in my judgment, a project does not, for any reason, satisfy the success criteria to a minimum level of proficiency—perhaps you misunderstood the instructions, or a component was missing—I will inform you of the problem, and you will be invited to resubmit the project. (You are likewise welcome to revise and resubmit a project that does meet minimum standards, should you wish to address any of the opportunities for improvement.)

In consultation with the rest of the SILS faculty, we have decided that graduate students will receive either a P (Pass) or F (Fail) grade. We do this to alleviate stress and allow for a more equitable grading system across sections.
Undergraduate students will receive a baseline grade of A- in the class, if they meet the minimum standard of expectation (described in the detailed instructions, below).

To pass the course, students must:

- Satisfy participation & mutual aid requirements (described below).
- Complete the Descriptive Schema, Taxonomy, and Comparison projects to a minimum level of expectation.

**Important Dates**

- **Project 1: Descriptive Schema**
  - Proposal
  - Draft
  - Final
  - Sunday January 23
  - Tuesday February 8
  - Sunday February 20
- **Project 2: Taxonomy**
  - Proposal
  - Draft
  - Final
  - Sunday February 27
  - Tuesday March 8
  - Sunday March 27
- **Project 3: Organizing System Explanation (Zine)**
  - Proposal
  - Draft
  - Final in-class book report
  - Final PDF in Canvas
  - Sunday April 3
  - Tuesday April 12
  - Tuesday April 26
  - Friday April 29
  - Friday April 29
- **Final Mutual Aid Self-Assessment**

**Participation & Mutual Aid**

The class will be mostly based around discussions, in-class activities, and project work, with relatively few lectures. Class participation is a vital component of the course.

Our time together will be oriented around discussion and group work. Generally, the first half of class (2 – 3:30) will focus on the week’s assigned readings, while the second half of class (3:45 – 4:45) will be oriented around project work. I expect everyone to participate in our discussions to the extent possible, and participation in these discussions must be respectful, well-reasoned, and should demonstrate knowledge of the topic (and/or the readings). This kind of participation is integral to the success of this class and will not be formally assessed. My expectation is that because we all want to have a successful, interesting, engaging class – each of us will do our best to participate in the discussion.

Some guidelines for successful discussions

Excellent participation typically involves these characteristics:

- Being prepared for synchronous class sessions.
- Enacting a non-judgmental space.
- Demonstrating engagement and attention.
- Supporting each other in mutual learning and growth.
- Exhibiting patience, flexibility, and respect for others.
• Showing interest in others’ ideas, experiences, and values.
• Having the courage to embrace discomfort (perhaps by speaking up, perhaps by being silent; perhaps by offering constructive criticism, perhaps by receiving a response gracefully).
• Taking responsibility for our mutual mastery of the course material.

Some of the ways that we can achieve excellence include:

• Getting through the materials for each unit (readings, lectures, activities) before class.
• Attending class.
• Taking the time to participate thoughtfully and deliberately; not being afraid of awkward silences.
• If it’s hard for you to speak, make an effort to try it; if it’s hard for you to keep quiet, make an effort to listen (“step up/step back” according to your own strengths and challenges).
• Using active listening techniques during class, so that, even when muted in Zoom, it’s clear that you are paying attention (for instance, gestures, reaction emojis, chat).
• Demonstrating flexibility and graciousness in the face of technical breakdowns and other distractions.
• Taking advantage of alternate modalities (in Zoom, chat and breakout rooms; forums in Sakai).
• Acknowledging and encouraging the contributions of others; letting people know that you want to hear them (for instance, asking open-ended questions, referencing what others have said, learning each others’ names).
• Taking responsibility to ask questions or get help when necessary.
• Approaching disagreement respectfully.
• Providing constructive and useful feedback for project peer reviews.

Again, I’m just going to assume that everyone will participate in the discussions to the extent possible. I don’t have a list with checkmarks to see who is talking and who isn’t.

I’ve recently been thinking about why I think participation is so important, and how to more equitably “grade” students on this very subjective metric. I’ve realized that participation is important because it’s an element of community, and I definitely think building community is important. So I’ve come up with a way to measure participation in a way that’s more equitable and perhaps will have a greater impact on the class. I’m calling this new form of participation “mutual aid”

At the end of the semester, students will be asked to give themselves points based on the ways they take care of each other in class.

Some examples of how to get mutual aid points:

• **Scribes**: Every class, we have two notetakers who will come together and post their notes online. This will include keeping track of discussion, finding any links mentioned in discussion, and providing an overview of upcoming due dates. Sign up at this link:

• **Timekeeper**: I will post the "Script" for a class session up with the timing of each step at the beginning of class, and this person will help me stay on-time. I’ll ask at the beginning of class who wants to be the timekeeper. First to answer gets the job that week.

• **Class Contract**: Participate in making a class contract for shared expectations for how we all want to be treated (this is facilitated in the first two classes)
• **Work with me:** This involves doing the reading, thinking about it, and meeting with me before class (15-30 minutes) to have a brief discussion about what the class discussion questions might be. If class is on Tuesdays, best meeting day is Monday, but I’m flexible. Two people per class session. Sign up here:

• **Email an author** of a text and share how it changed your thinking. Please copy me on the message.

• **Suggest readings.** If you know of an interesting reading (or ethical standard that I’ve missed) please let me know and I’ll look it over and add it to the syllabus!

• **Write a letter to future students:** on the last day, write a letter to next semester’s students with tips and tricks for navigating the course (& my teaching/grading style) you wish they knew at the start.

• **Any form of mutual aid.** proof reading, showing the library, etc.

• **“Previously” narrator:** Summarize what we did last class at the start of class

• **“Next Week” narrator:** Summarize what's happening next week, what we're reading, what we're likely to be talking about, and any upcoming due dates.

• **Annotator:** Many people read texts better when they are marked up with highlights, definitions, notes, etc. Submit a PDF that is annotated for other readers and future classes & peers. Instructions here: [https://slideplayer.com/slide/10656558/](https://slideplayer.com/slide/10656558/)

• **Breakout room reporter:** volunteer to take notes in the breakout rooms / small-group discussions and then report back for the group when we return to the main room.

• **Unanticipated awesome person:** Do something that helps your peers that this list did not anticipate. I am sure that we will have more items for the list every semester.

---

**Descriptive Schema**

In this project, you will define a set of things, detailing the set’s domain, scope, and means for establishing that one item is different from another. You will then outline a structure of attributes and associated values to systematically describe your set of things.

Next, you will develop documentation to help someone else (not you) to use the schema to describe instances of the things in your set.

To assess your schema and improve it, you will use the schema to describe (i.e., create metadata for) five varied instances of the things in your set. In class, you will further assess your schema by having someone else use it to describe three things. After these assessments, you may decide to revise your schema or the instructions.

Finally, you will write a short essay that reflects on your experience developing and assessing the schema.

This project is NOT designing a database. Your project is merely to instruct other people how to describe a set of things in a systematic way. (A good database design requires this kind of conceptual thinking also, but this project is not specific to a database implementation.)

**Project component 1: Your set of things**

This part of your project includes three parts:
• Domain
• Scope
• Identification

Domain
You will define a group of things to describe. This could be anything: concrete, physical things, informational things, or abstract, conceptual things. Some examples that students have previously used:

• Spoons
• Historical sites in Beijing
• Programming
• Jerky
• Characters based on Sherlock Holmes in film and television
• Knitting
• Reality television
• Computer viruses
• Yoga poses.
• Visualization
• Representations of “the aztec” in popular culture.

As part of the domain, you will also articulate a purpose and associated target audience to motivate your description. For example, you might want to help novice knitters find patterns that make nice gifts, or you might want to interrogate stereotypes latent in “Aztec” imagery. Each situation will suggest a different set of attributes for the same set of things, so define the audience and purpose carefully.

Scope
Here you will clarify what is in, and what is out, of your set of things.

For example, is a yoga pose invented by your teacher a proper yoga pose? Is a ladle a spoon? What are central members of your set of things, and what are peripheral members? What doesn’t belong at all? Thinking about central and border cases will help you create attributes that apply equally to all members of your set of things.

Identification
Now that you’ve clearly defined your scope, you should be able to more precisely define your things.

This involves deciding on a level of abstraction: for example, are you organizing individual physical books, or abstract literary expressions that may be manifested in various forms and editions? Are you describing a specific package of jerky or all instances of a certain product?

You also need to think about parts and granularity: do your things have parts that need to be kept track of? Are your things themselves collections? What about the persistence of your things: do they change over time? How much can a thing change before it is no longer the same thing?

Explain how you will distinguish between two different things. Do they have some intrinsic property that you can rely on for identification? Will you need to assign identifiers?
Project component 2: Attributes, value parameters, and documentation

You will articulate a set of 10-15 attributes to define your things in support of your identified audience and purpose.

You will label and document each attribute in sufficient detail so that someone else can assign values for things of the type that you have described. For each attribute, you will set parameters for acceptable values and provide guidelines that show how values should be expressed.

Preliminary assessment

Once you have sufficiently defined your attributes, use the structure that you have developed to preliminarily describe five instances to represent both central and border cases of your entity set.

If there are cases where you are unable to satisfactorily describe an instance, use this as an opportunity to revise the schema and clarify your attribute definitions. (You might even need to clarify the boundaries of your group of entities and sharpen its description.) Then use your revised schema to create five final descriptions for your entity instances.

User assessment

You will further assess your schema by having someone else use it to describe three things.

We will do this in class, but you will include your assessors’ results with your final submission.

Project component 3: Reflection essay

Finally, write a brief critical reflection on your design process and resulting product. You might discuss questions such as the following:

- Did designing the schema clarify or complicate any of the ideas we’ve been reading about in class?
- What was difficult about designing the schema?
- How did you decide which attributes to include in the schema?
- How do you know what makes an attribute good or useful?
- How do you know if you’ve defined an attribute well?
- What might you keep in mind when designing similar kinds of organizing systems?

These are examples of questions that you might discuss. To create a concise yet cohesive essay, you will need to concentrate on a few design issues of particular relevance to your project. Do not merely answer the questions here.

Note that the point of this reflective essay is not to justify why your schema is awesome. Clearly, it is awesome, and you don’t need to persuade me of that. Instead, the goal of this essay is to explore how the practical experience of designing a schema provokes insight onto the conceptual foundations of information organization.

Deliverables

Your final assignment should include:
1. The domain, scope, and identification information for your set of things.
2. Your attribute descriptions, value parameters, and associated guidelines for using the schema to describe the things. The description for each attribute should follow a consistent format. (You can use something similar to the NISO standard for Dublin Core metadata elements or devise your own format. You may use tables if you like)
3. Your descriptions of five instances. Use a consistent format for each record (perhaps a table for each instance).
4. The instance descriptions created by your peer.
5. Your critical reflection. This should be written in narrative form, as a cohesive paper of about 1000 words (3-4 pages).

Assessment criteria
A successful schema will exhibit these characteristics:

- The following are clearly described:
  - What constitutes a member of the defined set of things,
  - The schema’s audience and purpose, and
  - How a thing should be identified and distinguished from other, similar things.
- The defined attributes effectively represent the selected things in the context of the described purpose, and the value space effectively represents the extent of the attributes.
  - For example, when describing yoga poses for students, an attribute that indicates level of difficulty might be appropriate. However, such an attribute might seem less appropriate if describing yoga poses in relation to the history of Hindu thought and culture. In addition, the values described for the potential level of difficulty attribute for yoga poses should encompass the full range of possibilities at an appropriate level of detail for the audience and purpose of the schema.
- The documentation is sufficient to describe actual things accurately and comprehensively within the context of the selected purpose.
- The critical reflection thoughtfully considers the design process, product, or both, using the experience of creating the descriptive schema to productively engage larger issues of theory and practice (that is, the reflection does not merely summarize or justify the design process or product; it interrogates it).
- All project components follow a logical document structure, are clearly written, and use correct grammar and usage.
- All the project components are present.

Taxonomy Development

In this project, you will develop a taxonomy of categories to relate and arrange the things that you described with your schema. You will document the taxonomy so that someone else can use it to put things within the categories that you define. In class, you will assess the taxonomy and its documentation by having others use the taxonomy to classify things. Finally, you will write a short essay that reflects on your experience developing and assessing the taxonomy.

Project component 1: Taxonomy
First, you will decide on a property to organize your things. This could be an attribute from your schema or it could be a new property.

To create a worthwhile taxonomy, the property that you select must be complex enough so that its values can be arranged in multiple levels of hierarchy. You might need to play around with several ideas before making your final selection.

To begin, select a property that has between 10-15 specific values that can then be organized under more general categories.

Here are some examples.

- If your entity set was yoga poses, you might create a taxonomy of skills associated with each pose: for example, arm strength, open hamstrings, balance, breath control, mental presence.
- If you entity set was spoons, you might create a taxonomy of materials: teak, silver, plastic, bone, glass.
- If your entity set was still-life paintings, you might create a taxonomy of depicted objects: lemons, oysters, goblets, petunias.
- If your entity set was gardening implements, you might create a taxonomy of gardening activities that the implements are used in: weeding, insect spraying, harvesting, sowing, mulching.

We will work on creating the basic taxonomy structure in class, but here are the fundamental steps for a bottom-up design approach:

1. Identify 10-15 specific values—the ones that you would have specified in your descriptive schema.
2. For each value, generalize it into a broader category. From lemons, go to citrus, or fruit. From teak, go to wood. From arm strength, go to upper body strength. From insect spraying, go to pest control.
3. For each broader category, go up another level, until you get to your top term: skills, materials, objects.
4. Now comes the hard part. Arrange, redefine, remove, add, and relabel your categories so that they are organized into proper hierarchical relationships with a single principle of division at each level of the hierarchy. (We’ll talk about what this means in class)
5. Ensure that your taxonomy follows good design practice for hierarchies: at each level, categories are jointly exhaustive and mutually exclusive, and at a similar level of abstraction. (You’ll get a set of design principles in class)

Your final taxonomy should include from 25-40 categories, dispersed throughout all its levels. It should be at least four levels deep (the root term, two intermediate levels, and terminating values). Arrange your final taxonomy in a diagram that shows the relationships between categories. (There is no advantage to creating a fancy diagram.)

Project component 2: Taxonomy documentation

To enable someone else to use your taxonomy to categorize actual things, you need to define each category in your taxonomy and provide guidance about which kinds of things to put where.

Here are some issues that you need to think about:
• Can things be placed into higher-level categories or only at the bottom level? If things can go into higher-level categories, when might this occur?
• Can things go into multiple categories or just one?
• If a thing seems to fall in between or outside the existing categories, where should it go?

Your definitions should explain what the categories mean in the context of your taxonomy. For example, if you had a category of Bone to describe materials that spoons are made of, you would not transcribe the dictionary definition for Bone; that would be silly. You might write something like this:

Bone: Place here any spoons made of animal bone, tusks, teeth, or horn. Do not use Bone for spoons made from shells of marine animals.

Project component 3: reflection essay

Finally, write a brief critical reflection on your design process and resulting product. You might discuss questions such as the following:

• Did designing the taxonomy clarify or complicate any of the ideas we’ve been reading about in class?
• What was difficult about designing the taxonomy?
• How did you decide which categories to include in the taxonomy?
• How do you know what makes a category good or useful?
• How do you know if you’ve defined a category well?
• What might you keep in mind when designing similar kinds of organizing systems?

These are examples of questions that you might discuss. To create a concise yet cohesive essay, you will need to concentrate on a few design issues of particular relevance to your project. Do not merely answer the questions here.

Note that the point of this reflective essay is not to justify why your taxonomy is awesome. Clearly, it is awesome, and you don’t need to persuade me of that. Instead, the goal of this essay is to explore how the practical experience of designing a taxonomy provokes insight onto the conceptual foundations of information organization.

Deliverables

Your final assignment should include:

1. A summary of the set of things to be arranged with the taxonomy, and the audience and purpose associated with organizing these things (this may come directly from your schema project).
2. A diagram that includes all the categories in the taxonomy and shows their relationships.
3. Your taxonomy documentation, including general guidelines and category definitions.
4. The category assignments made by your peer tester.
5. Your critical reflection. This should be written in narrative form, as a cohesive paper of about 1000 words (3-4 pages).

Assessment criteria

A successful taxonomy will exhibit these characteristics:
• The taxonomy itself includes an appropriate number of categories, arranged in well-formed hierarchical relationships, that follow best practices for taxonomy design and development.
• The selected categories represent the set of things well in the context of its identified audience and purpose (from the descriptive schema).
• The documentation is sufficient to categorize actual things accurately within the context of the selected audience and purpose.
• The critical reflection thoughtfully considers the design process, product, or both, using the experience of creating the taxonomy to productively engage larger issues of theory and practice (that is, the reflection does not merely summarize or justify the design process or product; it interrogates it).
• All project components follow a logical document structure, are clearly written, and use correct grammar and usage.
• All the project components are present.

Comparing Organization Systems (Zine)

In this project, you will write and create a zine (or other non-academic-paper product) that explains and compares, in detail, how a set of things is presented in two or three organizing systems in the real world. These should be systems that are available to the public (that is, not the sock drawer in your house). You may organize physical or digital items. Your explanation will have several parts:

• A explanation of the category structure in each organizing system and the kinds of items placed in each.
• An interpretation of each category structure that attempts to understand the ideas it communicates about the entity set.
• A comparison of the different ideas presented in each organizing system.

Your goal in this project is to understand how each organizing system interprets the entity set: how it gives the entity set a particular meaning. Your goal is not to assess the effectiveness of the organizing system for retrieval. It doesn’t matter if it’s easy or difficult for you to find items in the entity set.

The real-world things

To write a meaningful, detailed, incisive explanation, you will need to focus on just one part of each organizing system. That is why you will keep your analysis to one type of things within the system.

Here are some examples:

• The organization of light fixtures at Home Depot and Target.
• The organization of chips at CVS and Harris Teeter.
• The organization of mystery novels at Flyleaf Books and at Barnes & Noble.
• The organization of Legos at the Southpoint Target and online, via the Lego Website.
• The organization of Japanese woodblock prints at two different online resources.

As with defining an entity set to organize with your schema, you will need to identify a set of things that is specific enough to analyze in depth but broad enough to enable you to say
something interesting about it. So the entire supermarket would be too broad, but just the Cheerios would be too narrow. The cereal at the supermarket might be just right.

**Explanation of category structure**

Your zine will need to explain each category structure that you’re investigating. Let’s say you’re looking at the cereal in a supermarket. Here are the kinds of questions you might ask:

- What different kinds of organizing principles are at play in arranging the cereal? Are the cereals arranged by size, price, brand, primary ingredients, level of sugar? How are these principles deployed—are the most expensive items on the top shelves or the bottom shelves?
- What principles inform the selection of items within the category? How many different kinds of cereal are there? What kinds are represented the most, and what kinds the least?
- Can you define central and peripheral members of the set of “cereal” each supermarket, and on what basis can you make that determination?
- How is cereal related to other entities? What is next to it?

Note that your set of things might be split up into multiple locations within the organizing system: for cereal, there might also be cereal in the bulk section, or in the International section. You’ll need to investigate these as well.

When you describe the category structure in your zine, focus on explaining it, not documenting it. There is no need to map out or transcribe each item in the cereal section! That would be silly. Your goal is to explain how the cereal section works, not to merely copy it down.

In creating your explanation, make use of the readings and class activities from throughout the semester.

**Interpretation of category structure**

This is the fun part. What does the category structure that you’ve explained tell us about the set of things? Here’s an example.

Let’s say my entity set is Noodles, and I’m looking at the Harris Teeter. Most of the noodles are in a section labeled Pasta that is near the tomato sauce. Indeed, based on the selection and arrangement of pasta varieties, the central idea of “noodle” is oriented around the notion of spaghetti and tomato sauce as a common meal. However, not all the noodles are in the Pasta section. Rice noodles are with other “Asian” foods in the International section. These noodles are not near the tomato sauce. Rice noodles might be similarly shaped to spaghetti, but in the organizing system of the supermarket, they are far away from spaghetti. They are, in a sense, more Asian than noodle. They certainly do not appear to be interchangeable, based on their placement within the organizing system of the supermarket. There are implications to this: the supermarket is saying, in a way, that if you invited a friend over for pasta and served pad kee mao, your friend might be surprised. And yet, aren’t rice noodles also noodles? (If you were defining “noodles” as an entity set for your descriptive schema, would you have excluded them?

(Probably not.)

While I encourage you to think deeply about the category structures that you’re investigating, *make sure to ground your interpretation within the evidence provided by your explanation*. You need to show how your interpretation arises from that evidence.
In making your interpretation, you should also make use of the readings we’ve done throughout the semester.

Comparison of different organizing systems

In comparing the two (or three) organizing systems that you are investigating, you might consider the following kinds of questions:

• What are the different ideas presented by each organizing system about the entity set? Are these ideas compatible or incompatible? (For example, rice at the Li Ming Global Mart is the foundation of one’s diet—it’s in its own section in 25-lb bags. But rice at the Harris Teeter is just an occasional companion)
• Would items from one organizing system take on a different character in the other organizing system? (For example, sugary cereal might be common at the Harris Teeter but uncommon at Whole Foods.)
• Would any items from one organizing system be excluded from the other system? (For example, spaghetti probably doesn’t appear at the Li Ming Global Mart, although there might be wheat noodles of similar shape.)

Deliverables

Your final assignment should include:

• A PDF of your final product (whether it’s a zine, presentation, whatever) turned into Canvas
• We will have a “book report” day on the last day of class where you will have a chance to present your work to the class

Zine details

Your explanations, interpretations, and comparisons should take the form of a zine, a brochure, graphic webpage, only limitation is your imagination. I want you to practice communicating complicated ideas in a limited space, while using images and normal everyday language. A ‘zine is This is a small magazine, with different sections, images, textures etc. There are typically 8 pages in a zine, but this does not equal the same number of words you’d have in an 8-page essay. Your zine should have a clearly identified argument and structure. For example, your theme might be “Noodles! Different things to different people!” or, “Cereal! More complicated than you might think!” or, “Have you ever noticed? Salad bars contain very little salad: based on evidence from supermarket buffets.”

Although your zine needs to include your explanation of category structure, your interpretation of category structure, and your comparison of organizing systems, it does NOT need to put these into separate sections. You should structure your essay in the way that makes the most sense for your argument.

Peer review

In Week 12-ish, you will submit a draft of your final project for peer review in class. This is not the finished zine. This is the text / images you plan to put in the zine. Two people will be assigned to read your draft and provide written and oral feedback on it at the next class session in Week 13.

In your peer review, you will provide written answers to the following questions:

• What is the argument put forth by the zine?
• What is the evidence used to make this argument?
• What going well?
• How can the argument be strengthened?

You will give this feedback to the zine’s author. (We will also discuss the drafts in class.)

Your goal in writing peer review feedback is to help make the argument better. Harsh criticism is not helpful; neither is mindless praise. Be honest, constructive, and compassionate. Also be a mindful and attentive reader: your feedback should not direct the writer to do things your way but help the writer to accomplish his or her goals more effectively.

Assessment criteria

A successful organizing system explanation will exhibit these characteristics:

• The zine has a clearly identified topic and argument.
• The explanations of each organizing system are adequate and cogent.
• The interpretations of each organizing system are insightful and well supported by evidence.
• The comparison of the organizing systems is insightful and well supported by evidence.

Schedule: Refer to Course Website