Basic information
This is an asynchronous online class.
Class materials will be available through Sakai.

Instructor information
Instructor: Melanie Feinberg
E-mail: mfeinber@unc.edu
Office: Manning 24
Student hours: Mondays 3 p.m. to 4 p.m. (in the office) or Mondays 4 p.m. to 4:30 p.m. (via Zoom; link available in Sakai)

Introduction
Information professions both old and new—from librarians to data scientists—rely on the aggregation of data from multiple sources. Just as a library catalog will incorporate records initially created by various institutions, a data scientist will merge datasets created by various research projects.

Aggregation of heterogeneous data can be a challenging prospect. For example, if one system describes authors and titles of resources in a coordinated statement (“Hamlet by William Shakespeare”) and another separates authors and titles into distinct elements, then one system’s records would need to be mapped to the other system’s structure before they could be aggregated.

Aggregation proceeds more smoothly when data is interoperable: when data created for one system can seamlessly work in another system. Interoperability increases when datasets have similar structure, syntax, content, and semantics. This data “infrastructure” is sometimes referred to as metadata. Metadata standards specify parameters for what is described, how it is described, and the format and syntax of description.

In this course, we will review basic concepts central to this metadata infrastructure and survey the many types of standards that attempt to harmonize description across systems. In addition to learning about metadata standards in a general way, we will examine the metadata standards employed in a particular domain: museums. We will examine how the goals of this example domain are expressed through its standards. We will also observe how data from this example domain appears in large aggregation projects.

Concurrently, we will put these concepts into practice through a semester-long project. In this project, we will imagine ourselves as part of a consortium of organizations working to create a Diverse Television and Video Finder (DTV Finder) for children's audiovisual materials (similar in scope to the Diverse Book Finder available at diversebookfinder.org). Taking on the role of a contributing organization to the DTV Finder, we will develop a set of local guidelines to implement the DTV Finder's selected metadata standards. We will then use the guidelines that we have developed to create a class dataset. We will then examine this dataset to understand the extent of its interoperability and to assess its quality. Throughout these explorations, we will seek to understand the inevitable role of human judgment in data creation. How does judgment intersect with interoperability, standards, and quality?
Objectives
At the end of this course, you will be able to:

- Identify and define the fundamental components of metadata: entities, attributes, and relationships.
- Trace the effects of modeling decisions—ways of defining and relating entities and their attributes—on the resulting data.
- Describe how different types of metadata standards work together.
- Explain how metadata standards reflect the goals, stakeholders, and histories of the domains in which they arise.
- Adapt general standards for local uses.
- Analyze a dataset to determine how metadata standards have been implemented in practice.
- Critically examine
  - The human experience of data work, including the role of human judgment in data creation.
  - The relationship between human judgment, metadata standards, interoperability, and quality.

Course structure
This is a remote asynchronous course.

The course is divided into 14 units. Each unit will become available on Wednesday morning. All units will last for one week, except for Unit 14, which is two weeks.

Each unit will incorporate readings, lectures, discussions, and activities. (See the Semester Calendar below for an overview of unit content.)

Material for each unit will have its own tab in Sakai. All the activities for the unit will be documented within that tab. When the new unit begins, access the unit tab in Sakai and follow the instructions.

All readings will be available electronically via the unit page.

When a unit is over, its page be available in Sakai through the Previous Units tab, so that you can refer to it throughout the semester.

Semester project overview
The semester project provides an opportunity to critically examine the relationship between metadata standards, interoperability, and quality, in the context of local data collection and global aggregation.

This project will adopt the following scenario:

A consortium of organizations—including libraries, schools, community groups, and broadcasters—has decided to sponsor a Diverse Television and Video Finder (DTV Finder) for children's programming, similar to the nonprofit Diverse Book Finder project. Anyone—parents, educators, content providers—will be able to search the database to discover, compare, and differentiate children's programs with diverse characters and associated inclusive themes.

As a key element of this initiative, members of the consortium will contribute data to populate the DTV database. Consortium members' local collections might include materials held by the organization (as with the archive of an animation studio that focuses on children’s programming) or materials held elsewhere (as with the virtual collection of a school library, which comprises data about freely available Web videos and programs on paid streaming services), or a combination.
To facilitate this data aggregation, consortium members will describe their local collections using an application profile that synthesizes elements from

- The PBCore standard for audiovisual content (pbcore.org).
- The Diverse Book Finder project (diversebookfinder.org).

This common metadata schema will be supplemented with several controlled vocabularies to specify allowed values for particular elements. To enable low-cost, efficient aggregation, data will be collected via a widely available technical infrastructure: a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet template.

The project includes four components:

1. Create implementation guidelines for a consortium member to implement the DTV schema for its local collection.
2. Use these local implementation guidelines to create data for eight children's programs (four of your choosing and four common to everyone).
3. Analyze the aggregated dataset created by the class.
4. Reflect on the above activities to develop a professional position statement regarding metadata quality.

The first part of the project (creating local guidelines) will be primarily group work; the other three parts of the project are individual.

Assessment
This class will not employ conventional grades or scores.

To receive a P, you must complete the following five course components in line with that component's criteria for success.

- Participation.
- Project #1: Local implementation guidelines for a metadata standard.
- Project #2: Data creation based on standards.
- Project #3: Analysis of aggregated class dataset.
- Project #4: Position paper on metadata quality, interoperability, and standards.

See the Project and Participation tab in Sakai for complete instructions, deliverables, and success criteria.

Project assessment
For each project, you will receive a set of qualitative comments. These comments will be oriented around the project's criteria for success. If a project does not satisfy the success criteria to a minimal proficiency standard, you will be invited to resubmit the project.

Participation assessment
Participation will primarily be assessed through self-reflection. You will submit both a midterm and final self-assessment that considers your participation against the success criteria.

Due dates
All project materials will be submitted via the Assignments tab in Sakai.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course component</th>
<th>Due date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1: Local guidelines</td>
<td>Wednesday, October 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midterm participation self-assessment</td>
<td>Wednesday, October 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2: Data creation</td>
<td>Wednesday, October 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3: Data analysis</td>
<td>Wednesday, November 24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project 4: Position paper  Wednesday, December 8
Final participation self-assessment  Wednesday, December 8

All assignments should be submitted as a PDF document in the Assignments tab of Sakai.

For citation policies and other general requirements for written work, see the Project Details tab in Sakai.

**Late work**
Late work is accepted without penalty.

If you cannot make a deadline, send an e-mail to inform me when you plan to submit your completed assignment. I appreciate being informed about your intention to submit late work as soon as possible, and ideally well before the scheduled due date. In your e-mail, you just need to tell me when you intend to submit your work. You don't need to explain your circumstances or apologize.

If you don't send me an e-mail and don't turn in a project, I will contact you instead. I won't be angry or anything; I'll just ask you to tell me when you anticipate submitting your assigned work.

The later that projects are submitted, the less time I will have to provide feedback on them, so keep this in mind. **You'll get fewer comments—or potentially no comments—when you turn things in late.** This will be especially true at the end of the semester. Additionally, because UNC has strict deadlines for final grade submission, late final projects may necessitate that you receive an IN (Incomplete) grade.

**Project dependencies**
Project 2 cannot begin without the submission of local guidelines from Project 1, and Project 3 cannot begin without the submission of data from Project 2. **In other words, it will affect your classmates if you do not submit the Project 1 local guidelines or the Project 2 data on time. That's quite serious! You will mess up everyone else's schedule if you are late with these components. Please do your best to plan accordingly.** (In contrast, if the reflection essays that are also part of these projects are late, no one else is affected.)

**Semester calendar**
Individual readings or activities for a unit may change slightly as the semester proceeds.

Optional readings are just that: extra stuff that is available if you find a topic particularly interesting.

**All course materials will be available through that unit’s tab in Sakai.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit 1: Metadata: an uncertain concept</th>
<th>August 18 to August 24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Content focus</strong></td>
<td><strong>Things to read</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Introduction to the class.</td>
<td>• Class syllabus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The indeterminacy of metadata.</td>
<td>• Mayernik, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Gilliland, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Zeng, 2016 (optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Riley, 2017 (optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Greenberg, 2009 (optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Furner, 2020 (optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 2: Entities and identifiers</td>
<td>August 25 to August 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Content focus</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• What is being described?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• How can entities be persistently identified?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Things to read</strong></td>
<td>Kent, 1978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IFLA, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coyle, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thompson, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bates, 1986 (optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>de Fremery and Buckland, 2021 (optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Things to do</strong></td>
<td>Activity: Entity definitions in practice (terrorist events and bibliographic works).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Project 1: Review instructions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Project 1: Set a weekly meeting time with your group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Project 1: Select the four programs that you will describe.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit 3: Properties of entities (attributes and values)</th>
<th>September 1 to September 7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Content focus</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• What significant properties distinguish each entity?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• What kinds of values best express these properties?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Things to read</strong></td>
<td>ANSI/NISO Z39.85 (Dublin Core metadata standard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CDP Metadata Working Group, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heery and Patel, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Global Terrorism Database (GTD) codebook (optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Armed Conflict Location and Event Data Project (ACLED) codebook (optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Things to do</strong></td>
<td>Activity: Attributes in practice (race and ethnicity in online dating and the U.S. Census).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Project 1: Meet with your group, determine your local context, and decide on a preliminary a work schedule. Submit group status report by September 7.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Project 2: Submit list of television programs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit 4: Relations between entities (models)</th>
<th>September 8 to September 14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Content focus</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• How are entities and properties related?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Things to read</strong></td>
<td>Dublin Core abstract model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban, 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IFLA, 1998 (again)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jett, Sacchi, Lee, and Clarke, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Johnston, 2006 (optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lee, et al, 2020 (optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Things to do</strong></td>
<td>Activity: Comparing the effects of modeling entities differently.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit 5: Types of metadata standards and the work of creating them</th>
<th>September 15 to September 21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Content focus</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• What is interoperability and how do standards facilitate it?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Things to read</strong></td>
<td>Zeng and Chan, 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elings and Weibel, 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Millerand and Bowker, 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zeng, 2020 (optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Things to do</strong></td>
<td>Activity: Creating a metadata crosswalk.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit 6: Linked data (encoding, linking, and aggregating metadata statements)</th>
<th>September 22 to September 28</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Content focus</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• How does linked data provide a technical architecture for encoding, linking, and aggregating metadata?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Things to read</strong></td>
<td>Carlson, Lempert, Melvin, and Washington, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Duval, et al, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Miller, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>World Wide Web Consortium, 2014 (optional)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Unit 7: Implementation of standards in practice (temporal—and cultural—components of data work)  
**September 29 to October 5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Content focus</th>
<th>Things to read</th>
<th>Things to do</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • How does our understanding of data infrastructure change over time and across communities of practice? | • Montoya and Morrison, 2019  
• Tennis, 2012 | • Project 1: due October 6.  
*Pick one of the following:*  
• Ribes, 2017  
• Long, Thompson, Potvin, and Rivero, 2017  
• Bowker, 2000 (optional)  
• Buckland, 2012 (optional) |

### Unit 8: Implementation of standards in practice (a critical look at metadata quality and assessment)  
**October 6 to October 12**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Content focus</th>
<th>Things to read</th>
<th>Things to do</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • How is a standard implemented in different situations, and what happens when data from different sources is aggregated? | • Waigley, Gelches, and Park, 2010  
• Lee, Clarke, and Pertl, 2015  
• Jackson and Barbrow, 2015 | • Activity: Comparison of Dublin Core data implementations  
• Project 2: due October 26. |

### Unit 9: Implementation of standards in practice (the human labor of data work)  
**October 13 to October 19**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Content focus</th>
<th>Things to read</th>
<th>Things to do</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • What is the lived experience of data creation work?  
• What is the role of human judgment and skill in data creation? | • Plantin, 2021  
• Suchman, 2002 | • Project 2: due October 26. |

### Unit 10: Museum informatics foundations and objectives  
**October 20 to October 26**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Content focus</th>
<th>Things to read</th>
<th>Things to do</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • What are the goals of museum collections data?  
• What are descriptive practices in museums? | • Marty, Raymond, and Twidale 2003  
• Bearman, 2008  
• Navarrete and Mackenzie Owen, 2016 | • Project 2: due on October 26. |

### Unit 11: Museum standards  
**October 27 to November 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Content focus</th>
<th>Things to read</th>
<th>Things to do</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • What are some content and structure standards for museum metadata?  
• How do these standards work together, and what are their goals? | • Excerpts from:  
  o Cataloging Cultural Objects (CCO).  
  o Categories for Description of Works of Art (CDWA).  
  o Art and Architecture Thesaurus (AAT)  
  • Coburn et al, 2010 | • Project 3: Analysis of class dataset exercise #1.  
• Project 3: due on November 24. |
### Unit 12: Museum models  
**November 3 to November 9**

**Content focus**
- What are some models for defining and relating entities and properties in museum metadata?

**Things to read**
- Gill, 2004
- Doerr, 2004
- Isaac, 2013

**Things to do**
- Activity: Observing modeling decisions in practice.
- Project 3: Analysis of class dataset exercise #2:
  - Project 3: due on November 24.

### Unit 13: Integrative infrastructures for cultural heritage data  
**November 10 to November 16**

**Content focus**
- How does standardized metadata enable aggregation of cultural heritage data?

**Things to read**
*Cultural heritage data case studies*
- Europeana
- ArtStor
- Digital Public Library of American (DPLA)
- Europeana strategy 2020-2025
- ArtStor metadata policy
- Capurro and Plets, 2021 (optional)

**Things to do**
- Project 3: Analysis of class dataset exercise #3.

### Unit 14: Data work in museum (ish) contexts: human judgments and machine judgments  
**November 17 to December 1**

**Content focus**
- What is the difference between human-generated data and machine-generated data?

**Things to read**
- Villaespesa and Crider, 2020
- Pawlowicz and Downum, 2021
- Kahn, 2021 (optional)
- Waller and Waller, 2017 (optional)

**Things to do**
- Project 3: due on November 24.
- Project 4: due on December 8.

### Course policies

**Asking for help**
One of the disadvantages of online courses is that it is difficult for me to know when you are confused. Unfortunately, this means that you will need to proactively ask for help when you don’t understand something about course content, expectations, or logistics. It is not a sign of weakness or stupidity to be confused. All questions are welcome. I encourage asking questions in the Sakai discussion forums, which I check regularly; that way, others can also benefit from the exchange.

To consult with me privately, send an e-mail, either to discuss your matter or to set up an individual meeting via Zoom. You can also attend student hours (see below).

**No busy work**
No one wants to do boring things for no reason, including me! From my perspective, everything that we do in this class has a purpose that requires thinking. If anything seems like busy work, I probably haven’t articulated the purpose well. Be sure to ask for help, so that I can better explain what the task is supposed to achieve.

**Respectful class environment**
Learning requires an atmosphere of respect, care, and empathy for each other. This does not mean that we can't disagree; understanding the nature of our disagreements can help us all grow. But disrespect for any person or their identity will not be tolerated.

**Instructor communication**
For specific, concrete questions, e-mail is the most reliable means of contact for me. If you do not receive a response after a few days, please follow up. It is always helpful if your e-mail includes a targeted subject line that begins with “INLS 720.”
For more complicated questions or help, come to student hours (no appointment necessary) or make an appointment to talk with me at a different time.

You are welcome to call me by my first name (“Melanie”). However, you may also use “Dr. Feinberg” or “Professor Feinberg” if that is more comfortable for you.

**Student hours**

*During student hours, I am available to talk with students about anything, without an appointment.*

You can use student hours to ask questions, seek help, consult about project work, obtain more information about course topics, or just say hello. You're not bothering me if you attend student hours! I've dedicated this time to talk with students.

If you attend in-person student hours, my office door will be open; simply come in! If I'm talking with someone else, make sure that I know you're there.

If you attend Zoom student hours, and my video is not on, I'm just working in another program. Start talking and I'll switch to Zoom!

**Inclusive learning and accessibility**

I want everyone to do well in this class. If there are aspects of this course that prevent you from learning or exclude you, please let me know. We’ll work together on strategies to meet your needs and satisfy the requirements of the course.

The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill facilitates the implementation of reasonable accommodations, including resources and services, for students with disabilities, chronic medical conditions, a temporary disability or pregnancy complications resulting in barriers to fully accessing University courses, programs and activities.

Accommodations are determined through the Office of Accessibility Resources and Service (ARS) for individuals with documented qualifying disabilities in accordance with applicable state and federal laws. See the ARS Web site ([ars.unc.edu](http://ars.unc.edu)) for details.

**Mental health resources**

All students have access to counseling and other resources through Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS). CAPS is strongly committed to addressing the mental health needs of a diverse student body through timely access to consultation and connection to clinically appropriate services, whether for short or long-term needs. Go to [caps.unc.edu](http://caps.unc.edu) or visit their facilities on the third floor of the Campus Health Services building.

**Basic needs**

If you are navigating financial, health, or housing challenges that may have an impact on your ability to thrive at UNC, one resource is the Dean of Students, which also oversees the Dean’s Emergency Fund: [https://dos.unc.edu/student-support/student-emergency-and-hardship-funds/](https://dos.unc.edu/student-support/student-emergency-and-hardship-funds/)

If you are struggling with food insecurity and you are in the Chapel Hill area, you can get assistance through Carolina Cupboard, an on-campus food pantry: [http://carolinacupboard.web.unc.edu/](http://carolinacupboard.web.unc.edu/)

**Academic integrity**

The UNC Honor Code states that:
It shall be the responsibility of every student enrolled at the University of North Carolina to support the principles of academic integrity and to refrain from all forms of academic dishonesty...

This includes prohibitions against the following:

- Plagiarism.
- Falsification, fabrication, or misrepresentation of data or citations.
- Unauthorized assistance or collaboration.
- Cheating.

All scholarship builds on previous work, and all scholarship is a form of collaboration, even when working independently. Incorporating the work of others, and collaborating with colleagues, is welcomed in academic work. However, the honor code clarifies that you must always acknowledge when you make use of the ideas, words, or assistance of others in your work. This is typically accomplished through practices of reference, quotation, and citation.

If you are not certain what constitutes proper procedures for acknowledging the work of others, please ask the instructor for assistance. It is your responsibility to ensure that the honor code is appropriately followed. (The UNC Office of Student Conduct provides a variety of honor code resources.)

The UNC Libraries has online tutorials on citation practices and plagiarism that you might find helpful.
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Metadata standards
List of (primarily structural) standards from Metadata book Web site by Zeng and Qin:

Linked data, Semantic Web, RDF