

INLS 201, Foundations of Information Science Spring 2018

Basic Information

Date and time: Tuesdays and Thursdays, 9:30 to 10:45 a.m.

Location: Manning 01

Instructor Information

Instructor: Melanie Feinberg

E-mail: mfeinber@unc.edu

Office: Manning 24 (on the garden level, just like Manning 01)

Office hours: Tuesdays from 2 p.m. to 3 p.m.

Anyone can come to office hours to discuss anything, without making an appointment in advance. It's a great time to ask questions about assignments, to ask for help, or just to say hello.

Introduction

The field known as “information science” involves the representation, storage, organization, retrieval and use of...well, “information”! But what is this “information”? This is a surprisingly complex question. If we think about some of the things that we might describe as “information”—documents like this syllabus, Web pages, photographs, tweets, books, podcasts, results of Google searches, event flyers stuck on telephone poles, the number of steps that is recorded by a Fitbit each day, Egyptian hieroglyphics painted on pyramid walls, text messages, video from surveillance cameras—these constitute an immense variety of form (images, text, video, sound) modality (digital pixels, physical paint) and access mechanism (to see an event flyer, you need to walk past it; to receive a text message, you need a smartphone). There are vast technical challenges to managing all of these diverse objects. Still, the technical aspects of information management are relatively concrete.

But there's yet another level to our understanding of information. What do all these different types of informational messages have in common? They are only useful when people decode them—when we understand what they mean. While the technical challenges associated with information management are significant, the challenges associated with meaning and interpretation are even more vexing. Questions of meaning are inherently uncertain, ambiguous, and contextual.

Information science, then, requires thinking on multiple levels. There is the conceptual level of understanding how messages come to acquire meaning and value, and there is the technical level of understanding how messages can be manipulated to enable practical goals. These conceptual and technical levels are tightly integrated and can't be understood in isolation. For example, we find it natural to look for information based on its topic, or its aboutness. But aboutness is a human judgment of meaning. While we can develop technical solutions to automate document retrieval that operate on relatively concrete document properties, such as word frequencies, these apparently concrete technical solutions are only approximations for human interpretive judgments. If we want to understand both the capabilities and limitations of technical solutions for information-related processes, we need to think about how people produce meaning, as well as about how computers can manipulate information objects.

In this course, we will examine conceptual and technical foundations of representing, organizing, retrieving, and using information. We will emphasize how the conceptual and technical bear upon each other. We will also explore how these integrations and frictions manifest in contemporary life.

The course is roughly organized into three parts. The first and third parts are more conceptually oriented, and the second part is more technically oriented.

- Part 1, from January 11 through February 15, looks at core ideas of meaning, representation, and categorization.
- Part 2, from February 20 through April 10, looks at mechanisms for modeling information computationally, to automate our interactions with information. (Our emphasis here is on understanding these mechanisms at a fundamental level, and not on implementing them.)
- Part 3, from April 10 through April 26, looks at the effects of such computational models, and their associated emphasis on ranking and rating, in contemporary life.

Learning objectives

At the end of this course, you will:

- Be familiar with fundamental concepts and concerns associated with information studies.
- Be able to relate these concepts and concerns to current events, situations, and technologies.
- Be prepared to succeed in further SILS coursework.

Grading

You will be assessed based on the following elements:

- Three take-home exams (two midterms and a final): 100 points each.
- Participation: 100 points.

There is a total of 400 points.

Final grades will be assigned according to the following schedule:

A	375 to 400
A-	360 to 374
B+	348 to 359
B	336 to 347
B-	320 to 335
C+	308 to 319
C	296 to 307
C-	280 to 295
D+	268 to 279
D	240 to 267
F	<240

Assessment Details

Take-home exams

The three take-home exams will each address one segment of the course. The exams will ask you to synthesize material from readings, lectures, and in-class activities and apply your understanding to a contemporary situation.

<i>Exam</i>	<i>Material addressed</i>	<i>Date distributed</i>	<i>Date due</i>
Midterm #1	January 11- February 15	February 13	February 22 at 9:30 a.m.
Midterm #2	February 20 - March 22	March 20	March 29 at 9:30 a.m.

Final exam March 27 - April 24 April 26 May 4 at 8 a.m.

Instructions and grading criteria will be supplied in class on the date that the exam prompts are distributed. Exams will consist of primarily essay questions that will ask you to both explain concepts and apply them.

Bring a printed copy of your midterm exam to class on the date it is due. Midterm exams are due when class begins. Exams are late if you arrive late to class.

You will turn in the final exam as a PDF file via the Assignments tool in Sakai. The exam is due at the time when the scheduled final exam would begin.

Late work

Late exams are penalized 5 points for each day that the exam is late. A day begins when the exam is due (that is, at the beginning of class) and continues until 24 hours have passed. Extensions will be granted in exceptional cases only.

Presentation details

When writing exams, you may select whatever font, font size, margin, spacing, and other options that you like, as long as your work is professionally presented.

In making in-text references or preparing reference lists for outside sources, you may adopt any standard citation style you prefer (such as APA or the Chicago Manual of Style). You do not need to prepare a reference list for class readings (although you need to cite these materials within your text).

Participation

Participation will be graded according to these criteria:

- *Attendance.*
It is important for you to attend class. Please be seated and ready when class begins. If personal difficulties (serious illness, etc.) make attendance problematic, please consult with me so that we can make an appropriate plan.
- *Department.*
You should be attentive in class and respectful of your classmates and the instructor. Turn off cell phones and other devices that might disrupt class. Use laptops and other devices to support current course activities only.
- *Engagement.*
Engagement includes: reading the assigned materials before class; asking questions when you do not understand the readings; making observations about the readings, being able to summarize their main points, and being able to respond to questions about the readings; participating in class activities; responding to discussion questions or other questions that I might ask during a lecture; actively listening and taking notes. I welcome productive disagreement (especially with me!), as long as it is expressed constructively and courteously. I value all informed opinions and encourage you to share them.

Engagement will be weighted more heavily than attendance and department.

Semester Calendar

All readings are available in the Resources area of the course Sakai site.

*For each day of the course, read the listed materials **before class.***

At the end of each class session, I will provide a brief introduction to the reading for the next session, with a few questions to consider for each reading. You should be prepared to discuss these questions in class.

Date	Topics	To read before class
Thursday, January 11	Introduction	Course syllabus
Tuesday, January 16	Information as facts	Floridi, 2010 (chapters 2, 3, and 4)
Thursday, January 18	<i>Class cancelled due to snow</i>	
Tuesday, January 23	Information as “literatures”	Agre, 1995
Thursday, January 25	Information as signal and noise (information theory)	Gleick, 2011 (chapter 7)
Tuesday, January 30	Information as signs (semiotics)	Fiske, 1990
Thursday, February 1	Image information as signs (semiotics of images)	McCloud, 1994 (chapter 2) Hess and Bui, 2017
Tuesday, February 6	Distinguishing between things	Wilson, 1968 (chapter 1) Thompson, 2010
Thursday, February 8	Distinguishing between types of things (categorizing)	Zerubavel, 1991 (chapters 1-2) Gyasi, 2016 Velasquez-Manoff, 2017
Tuesday, February 13	Naming things	Domonoske, 2017 Duane, 2017 Horowitz, 2017 Hui, 2017
Thursday, February 15	Describing things systematically	Daston, 2016 Rosenberg, 2016
Tuesday, February 20	Categorizing things systematically	Hunter, 2002 Dupre, 2006
Thursday, February 22	Computation	Hillis, 1998 (chapters 1 and 2)
Tuesday, February 27	Sets and Boolean algebra	Berkeley, 1937
Thursday, March 1	Modeling information about things as sets: relational databases	Chen, 1976
Tuesday, March 6	Modeling information about things as graphs: networks	Easley and Kleinberg, 2010 (chapter 1)
Thursday, March 8	Computationally created models: Boolean retrieval (and modeling texts for computation)	Manning, Rhagvan, and Schutze, 2009 (chapters 1 and 2)
Tuesday, March 13	<i>Spring break No class</i>	
Thursday, March 15	<i>Spring break No class</i>	
Tuesday, March 20	Assessing the results of computation: correctness	Cantwell Smith, 1985
Thursday, March 22	Statistical models	O’Neil, 2016 (chapter 1)
Tuesday, March 27	Probability	Hacking, 2001 (chapters 2-7)
Thursday, March 29	Computationally created models: Probabilistic retrieval and ranked lists	Maron, 1961
Tuesday, April 3	Assessing the results of ranked lists: relevance	Buckland, 2017 (chapter 8) Wilson, 1968 (chapter 3)
Thursday, April 5	Assessing the results of ranked lists: information credibility	Rieh, 2010 Starbird, 2017

Date	Topics	To read before class
Tuesday, April 10	Computationally created models: topic models	Boyd-Graber, Hu, and Mimno, 2017 (chapters 1 and 6) Burton, 2013
Thursday, April 12	Assessing the results of topic models: visualizations	Boyd-Graber, Hu, and Mimno, 2017 (chapter 3)
Tuesday, April 17	Pervasive sorting and ranking: social effects	Noble, 2013 Angwin, Larson, Mattu, and Kirchener, 2016
Thursday, April 19	Pervasive sorting and ranking: economic effects	Segal, 2011 Duhigg, 2012 Useem, 2017
Tuesday, April 24	Pervasive sorting and ranking: political effects	Diresta and Lotan, 2015 Confessore and Wakabayashi, 2017 Wakabayashi, 2017
Thursday, April 26	Pervasive sorting and ranking: cultural effects	Hallinan and Sriphas, 2014

Policies

Instructor communication

For specific, concrete questions, e-mail is the most reliable means of contact for me. You should receive a response within a day or so, but sometimes it may take 2-3 days. If you do not receive a response after a few days, please follow up. Please keep this in mind when you are scheduling your own activities, especially those related to exam preparation. If you wait until the day before an exam to ask me a clarification question, there is a good chance that you will not receive a response before the exam.

It is always helpful if your e-mail includes a targeted subject line that begins with "INLS 201." Please use complete sentences and professional language in your e-mail also.

For more complicated questions or help, come to office hours (no appointment necessary!) or make an appointment to talk with me at a different time. I cannot discuss grades over e-mail; if you have a question about grading, you must talk with me in person.

You are welcome to call me by my first name ("Melanie"). However, you may also use "Dr. Feinberg" if that is more comfortable for you. Either is fine.

Academic integrity

The UNC Honor Code states that:

It shall be the responsibility of every student enrolled at the University of North Carolina to support the principles of academic integrity and to refrain from all forms of academic dishonesty...

This includes prohibitions against the following:

- Plagiarism.
- Falsification, fabrication, or misrepresentation of data or citations.
- Unauthorized assistance or collaboration.
- Cheating.

All scholarship builds on previous work, and all scholarship is a form of collaboration, even when working independently. Incorporating the work of others, and collaborating with colleagues, is welcomed

in academic work. However, the honor code clarifies that you must always acknowledge when you make use of the ideas, words, or assistance of others in your work. This is typically accomplished through practices of reference, quotation, and citation.

If you are not certain what constitutes proper procedures for acknowledging the work of others, please ask the instructor for assistance. It is your responsibility to ensure that the [honor code](#) is appropriately followed. (The [UNC Office of Student Conduct](#) provides a variety of honor code resources.)

The UNC Libraries has online tutorials on [citation practices](#) and [plagiarism](#) that you might find helpful.

Students with disabilities

Students with disabilities should request accommodations from the UNC office of Accessibility Resources and Service (<https://accessibility.unc.edu/>).

Bibliography

Phil Agre. 1995. Institutional circuitry: thinking about the forms and uses of information. *Information Technology and Libraries* 14(4): 225-230.

Julia Angwin, Jeff Larson, Surya Mattu, and Lauren Kirchner. 2016. Machine bias. ProPublica, May 23, 2016. Available at: <https://www.propublica.org/article/machine-bias-risk-assessments-in-criminal-sentencing>

Edmund C. Berkeley. 1937. Boolean algebra (the technique for manipulating AND, OR, NOT and conditions). *The Record* 26 part II (54): 373-414.

Jordan Boyd-Graber, Yuening Hu, and David Mimno. 2017. Applications of topic models. *Foundations and Trends in Information Retrieval* 11(2-3). (Chapter 1, p. 144-162; chapter 3, 180-190; chapter 6, 211-222.)

Michael Buckland. 2017. *Information and society*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. (Chapter 8.)

Matt Burton. 2013. The joy of topic modeling. Available at: <http://mcburton.net/blog/joy-of-tm/>

Peter Pin-Shan Chen. 1976. The entity-relationship model—toward a unified view of data. *ACM Transactions on Database Systems* 1(1): (9-36).

Nicholas Confessore and Daisuke Wakabayashi. 2017. How Russia harvested American rage to reshape U.S. politics. *New York Times* October 9, 2017. Available at: <https://nyti.ms/2yTN6cF>

Lorraine Daston. 2015. Cloud physiognomy: describing the indescribable. *Representations* 135, Summer 2015, 45-71.

Renee DiResta and Gilad Lotan. 2015. Anti-vaxxers are using Twitter to manipulate a vaccine bill. *Wired* June 8, 2015. Available at: <https://www.wired.com/2015/06/antivaxxers-influencing-legislation/>

Camilla Domonoske. 2017. How two women fought to be called “Miss” and “Ms.” NPR, All Things Considered, November 30, 2017. (Listen to the 8-minute sound recording or read the transcript.) Available at: <https://www.npr.org/2017/11/30/567572923/how-two-women-fought-to-be-called-miss-and-ms>

Daniel Duane. 2017. Goodbye, Yosemite. Hello, what? *New York Times* September 2, 2017. Available at: <https://nyti.ms/2xGrpWO>

Adam Duhigg. 2012. How companies learn your secrets. *New York Times*, February 16, 2012. Available at: <http://www.nytimes.com/2012/02/19/magazine/shopping-habits.html>

John Dupre. 2006. Scientific classification. *Theory, Culture, and Society* 23(2-3): 30-32.

David Easley and Jon Kleinberg. 2010. *Networks, crowds, and markets: reasoning about a highly connected world*. New York: Cambridge University Press. Chapter 1 (p. 1-20).

John Fiske. 1990. *Introduction to Communication Studies*, 2nd ed. London and New York: Routledge. (Excerpts: pages 6–12, 39–46, 56–58, 64–65.)

Luciano Floridi. 2010. *Information: a very short introduction*. London: Oxford University Press. (Chapters 2-4, p. 19-59.)

James Gleick. 2011. *The Information*. New York: Pantheon Books. (Chapter 7, p. 204–232.)

Yaa Gyasi. 2016. I'm Ghanian-American. Am I black? *New York Times* June 18, 2016.

Ian Hacking. 2001. *An Introduction to Probability and Inductive Logic*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. (Chapters 2-7, p. 11-77.)

Blake Hallinan and Ted Striphas. 2016. Recommended for you: the Netflix Prize and the production of algorithmic culture. *New Media and Society* 18(1): 117-137.

Amanda Hess and Quatrung Bui. 2017. What love and sadness look like in 5 countries, according to their top GIFs. *New York Times* December 29, 2017. Available at: <https://nyti.ms/2EdPHSb>

W. Hillis. 1998. *The Pattern on the Stone*. New York: Basic Books. (Chapter 1, p. 1–38.)

Jason Horowitz. 2017. In Myanmar, Pope Francis calls for peace without saying “Rohingya.” *New York Times* November 29, 2017. Available at: <https://nyti.ms/2icUbCD>

Mary Hui. 2017. Flight 666 to HEL takes off for one last time. *Washington Post* October 13, 2017. Available at: <https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/acts-of-faith/wp/2017/10/13/flight-666-to-hel-took-off-one-last-time-this-friday-the-13th/>

Eric J. Hunter. 2002. *Classification made simple*. 2nd ed. Aldershot, England: Ashgate. (Chapters 1-5.)

Christopher Manning, Prabhakar Raghavan, and Hinrich Schütze. 2009. *Introduction to Information Retrieval*, New York: Cambridge University Press. (Chapters 1 and 2, 1–34.)

M.E. Maron. 1961. Automatic indexing: an experimental inquiry. *Journal of the ACM* 8(3): 404–17.

Scott McCloud. 1994. *Understanding Comics*. 1st HarperPerennial ed. New York: HarperPerennial. (Chapter 2, p. 24–59.)

Safiya Noble. 2013. Google search: hyper-visibility as a means of rendering black women and girls invisible. *InVisible Culture: an Electronic Journal for Visual Culture* 19. Available at:

<http://ivc.lib.rochester.edu/google-search-hyper-visibility-as-a-means-of-rendering-black-women-and-girls-invisible/>

Cathy O’Neil. 2016. *Weapons of Math Destruction*. New York: Crown, 2016. (Chapter 1, 15–31.)

Soo Young Rieh. 2010. Credibility and cognitive authority of information. In *Encyclopedia of Library and Information Sciences*, Marcia Bates, ed. New York: CRC Press, 1337-1344.

Eli Rosenberg. 2016. The mountain that tops Everest (because the world is fat). *New York Times*, May 16, 2016.

David Segal. 2011. The dirty little secrets of search. *New York Times*, February 12, 2011. Available at: <http://www.nytimes.com/2011/02/13/business/13search.html>

Brian Cantwell Smith. 1985. The limits of correctness. In *Symposium on Unintentional Nuclear War, Fifth Congress of the International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War*. Budapest, 1985.

Kate Starbird. 2017. Information wars: a window onto the alternative media ecosystem. *Medium* March 14, 2017. Available at: <https://medium.com/hci-design-at-uw/information-wars-a-window-into-the-alternative-media-ecosystem-a1347f32fd8f>

Henry Thompson. 2010. What is a URI and why does it matter? Available at: <http://www.ltg.ed.ac.uk/~ht/WhatAreURIs/>

Jerry Useem. 2017. How online shopping makes suckers of us all. *The Atlantic* May 2017. Available at: <https://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2017/05/how-online-shopping-makes-suckers-of-us-all/521448/>

Moises Velasquez-Manoff. 2017. What doctors should ignore. *New York Times* December 8, 2017. Available at: <https://nyti.ms/2BNsCDT>

Daisuke Wakabayashi. 2017. As Google fights fake news, voices on the margins raise alarm. *New York Times* September 26, 2017. Available at: <https://nyti.ms/2k0QGzh>

Patrick Wilson. 1968. Two kinds of power: an essay on bibliographical control. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press. Chapter 1 (p. 6-19) and chapter 3 (p. 41-54).

Eviatar Zerubavel. 1999. *The fine line: making distinctions in everyday life*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. Chapters 1-2, 1-32.

Acknowledgements and thanks

This syllabus includes elements of INLS 201 sections taught by Diane Kelly, Ron Bergquist, and Ryan Shaw.