

Slow Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation, Long-Term Depotentiation, and Brain Hyperexcitability Disorders

Ralph E. Hoffman, M.D.

Idil Cavus, M.D., Ph.D.

Objective: Many clinical syndromes in neuropsychiatry suggest focal brain activation. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) has been proposed as a method for selectively altering neural activity.

Method: Studies assessing effects of "slow" rTMS, administered up to once per second, in normal people and in those with pathological conditions are reviewed. The findings are compared with those of animal studies examining long-term depression and long-term depotentiation through direct electrical stimulation of cortical tissue.

Results: Data suggest that slow rTMS reduces cortical excitability, both locally

and in functionally linked cortical regions. Preliminary studies of patients with focal dystonia, epileptic seizures, and auditory hallucinations indicate symptom reductions following slow rTMS. Long-term depotentiation exhibits many features congruent with those of slow rTMS, including frequency dependence, spread to functionally linked cortical regions, additive efficacy, and extended duration of effects.

Conclusions: Slow rTMS offers a new method for probing and possibly treating brain hyperexcitability syndromes. Further studies linking slow rTMS to animal models of neuroplasticity are indicated.

(*Am J Psychiatry* 2002; 159:1093–1102)

Psychiatry and neurology are rife with disorders suggesting focal hyperexcitability of the brain. An obvious example is focal epilepsy, which produces unregulated activation of neural groups that, at least on initiation, is localized to a specific region of the brain. Many other disorders in psychiatry and neurology are characterized by episodic behavioral and/or cognitive activation that suggests focal brain activation. These disorders include myoclonus, Tourette's syndrome, hallucinations, and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Because of the limited efficacy of currently available clinical interventions, new tools to probe and treat brain hyperexcitability disorders would be highly desirable.

Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) offers a noninvasive method for altering excitability of the brain. This method uses an electromagnet placed on the scalp that generates magnetic field pulses of very short duration (100–300 msec) approximately 1.5–2.0 T in strength. Magnetic fields pass largely undistorted through the scalp and skull (1, 2). Their rapid rise and fall induce corresponding electrical fields that stimulate small regions of the brain. The diameter of cortical tissue stimulated directly is approximately 2–3 cm (3) and depends on the shape and configuration of the coil. Mathematical models predicted approximately 2-cm magnetic field penetration from the scalp surface (4), which is sufficient to span gray matter for most cerebral cortical regions immediately adjacent to the skull. Some neuroimaging data (5) suggest

that TMS accesses deeper brain structures, including enfolded gray and neighboring white matter.

Studies with rTMS can be divided into two types. The first uses stimulation at higher frequency ranges (more than five times per second, or >5 Hz), and the second examines effects of lower-frequency stimulation (≤ 1 Hz). Post and colleagues (6, 7) observed that these rTMS stimulation characteristics parallel those in animal studies of neuroplasticity that used electrical stimulation. Higher-frequency direct electrical stimulation of neural tissue can produce long-term potentiation of transsynaptic signal propagation as well as kindling of seizure phenomena. In contrast, low-frequency direct electrical stimulation curtails synaptic transmission and is referred to as long-term depression. Post et al. (6) proposed that brain stimulation obtained by means of pulsed magnetic fields administered at the scalp level produces effects paralleling those of long-term potentiation and long-term depression when administered at the same frequency.

In this review we will consider published studies of rTMS administered to humans at a rate of 0.3–1 Hz. By convention, rTMS in this frequency range is referred to as "slow," whereas "fast" rTMS refers to stimulation greater than 1 Hz. The studies of slow rTMS are reviewed in two sections. The first covers studies of normal subjects, and the second relates to patients with specific illnesses. In the third section we discuss long-term depression and long-term depotentiation as potential models for understanding the mechanism of slow rTMS.

TABLE 1. Studies of Slow Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (rTMS) With Normal Human Subjects

Study	Site of Stimulation	Frequency (Hz)	Control/Comparison Stimulation Condition	Field Strength Relative to Motor Threshold (%)	Duration of Stimulation (minutes)	Number of Stimulation Sessions	Observed Effects
Chen et al. (8)	Left motor cortex	0.9	Prior stimulation trial at 0.1 Hz in same subjects	115	15	1	Decreased motor evoked potentials 15 minutes after rTMS
Wassermann et al. (9)	Left motor cortex	1	Sham stimulation	100	15	1	Decreased motor evoked potentials in contralateral motor cortex
Kimbrell et al. (10)	Right motor cortex	1	Half of subjects received sham stimulation only	110	30	1	Decreased glucose uptake relative to sham stimulation in motor cortex bilaterally, frontal cortex, and corpus striatum
Fox et al. (5)	Left motor cortex	1	Baseline scan before rTMS, three scans during rTMS, and two scans after rTMS	120	30	1	Increased blood flow in motor cortex and contralateral supplementary motor area, with decreased activation in contralateral motor cortex; direct effects lasted at least 10 minutes after rTMS
Bohning et al. (11)	Left motor cortex	1	None	80 and 110	— ^a	1	Increased blood-oxygen-level-dependent (BOLD) signal in ipsilateral motor cortex, with more signal at higher stimulation strength
Muellbacher et al. (12)	Right motor cortex	1	None	115	15	1	Decreased motor evoked potentials and increased motor threshold for 30 minutes after rTMS
Rossi et al. (13)	Left motor cortex	1	Sham stimulation	110	15	1	Decreased negative slope and duration of Bereitschaftspotential ^b
Maeda et al. (14)	Motor cortex	1	Stimulation at other frequencies (10 and 20 Hz)	90	4	2 ^c	Decreased motor evoked potentials on each of the 2 days of the study
Gerschlag et al. (15)	Left premotor cortex	1	rTMS of left prefrontal and parietal cortex	90	25	1	Decreased motor evoked potentials following rTMS of premotor cortex but not following rTMS of comparison regions
D'Alfonso et al. (16)	Left and right prefrontal cortex	0.6	Left- and right-sided stimulation compared	130	15	1 ^d	Right-sided stimulation reduced and left-sided stimulation increased avoidance reactions to threatening faces
Nahas et al. (17)	Left prefrontal cortex	1	Variable stimulation strengths	80, 100, and 120	— ^e	1	No activation of motor threshold with 80% strength, right prefrontal activation with 100%, bilateral prefrontal activation with 120%
Borojerd et al. (18)	Visual cortex	1	Stimulation at another scalp location (Cz)	— ^f	15	1	Increased phosphene induction threshold for stimulation over visual cortex but not after control stimulation; increase lasted more than 10 minutes

^a Blocks of 18 pulses were interleaved with acquisition of BOLD signal.

^b A slow negative potential preceding motor actions that arises in the supplementary motor area of the frontal cortex.

^c One session per day.

^d One stimulation at each site on separate days.

^e Blocks of 21 pulses were interleaved with acquisition of BOLD signal.

^f The phosphene induction threshold was used as the measure of strength.

Slow rTMS Studies of Normal Human Subjects

The majority of studies using slow rTMS have been directed at the primary motor cortex (Table 1). The reason is simple—functional localization of stimulation is readily achieved by adjusting the site of rTMS so that specific peripheral muscle groups are activated. In contrast, rTMS delivered to the association cortex is largely silent, at least in terms of immediate effects, although higher-frequency (10–20 Hz) rTMS can elicit speech arrest or

memory curtailment (19, 20). Thus, it is more difficult to ascertain the functional “location” of rTMS delivered to regions other than the motor cortex in the absence of neuroimaging methods (see, for instance, the work of Paus et al. [21]).

To our knowledge, the first study examining the physiological effects of slow rTMS on motor cortex and corticospinal signal propagation to skeletal muscle was reported by Chen et al. (8). The amplitude of motor evoked potentials for the abductor pollicis brevis muscle in response to single TMS pulses to the primary motor cortex

was measured at baseline and immediately after 0.9-Hz rTMS of 15 minutes' duration. Significant reductions in motor evoked potentials were observed. These data likely do not reflect simple fatigue since fast rTMS delivered to the motor cortex enhances motor cortical excitability (22). The findings of Chen et al. (8) have been replicated by other groups, and suppressive effects on the size of motor evoked potentials have lasted up to 30 minutes after stimulation (12, 14). Other studies, moreover, have demonstrated that the suppressive effects of slow rTMS can be propagated to other regions not directly stimulated, presumably by functional connections. For instance, slow rTMS of the left primary motor cortex reduces motor evoked potentials elicited by single-pulse TMS administered to the right primary motor cortex (9), an effect presumably mediated by transcallosal projections. Similarly, slow rTMS delivered to the premotor cortex, another brain area projecting to the primary motor cortex, reduces motor evoked potentials elicited by stimulation of the latter (15). Finally, slow rTMS of the primary motor cortex was found to diminish the Bereitschaftspotential (13), a slow negative potential preceding motor actions that arises in the supplementary motor area of the frontal cortex. The supplementary motor area exchanges extensive projections with the motor cortex. Thus, slow rTMS delivered to different components of motor circuits appears to propagate to other circuitry components.

In terms of neuroimaging findings, Fox and colleagues (5) found increased activation in the motor cortex, as assessed by $H_2[^{15}O]$ positron emission tomography (PET), immediately after 1-Hz rTMS. Similarly, Bohning et al. (11) demonstrated increased activation both locally and in distant sites by using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) interleaved with 1-Hz rTMS of the motor cortex. However, one study (10) using $[^{18}F]$ fluorodeoxyglucose PET showed reduced cortical metabolic activation during 1-Hz rTMS of the motor cortex.

Studies of slow rTMS in normal subjects delivered to brain regions other than the motor cortex have also been reported (Table 1). Visual cortex excitability can be assessed by means of the TMS threshold for inducing visual phosphenes (18). Slow rTMS to this region led to increased phosphene thresholds, suggesting decreased cortex excitability. In another study (16), the effects of right and left prefrontal slow rTMS were compared in normal subjects in terms of their responses to angry faces during performance of a version of the Stroop task involving facial expression of emotions. Consistent with accounts of neural mechanisms of approach and withdrawal behaviors, right-sided stimulation reduced avoidance reactions to angry faces, while left-sided stimulation enhanced attentional responses to these stimuli. Acquisition of fMRI data interleaved with pulse sequences of 1-Hz rTMS delivered to the prefrontal cortex has been studied by Nahas et al.

(17). Stimulation produced activation of the prefrontal cortex that correlated with the strength of rTMS.

To summarize, the findings from studies that assessed neural reactivity to inputs (either from TMS itself or from neural sources arising from cognitive or motor activation) suggest strongly that slow rTMS reduces reactivity. However, functional neuroimaging studies that assessed the immediate effects of slow rTMS (5, 11, 17) generally demonstrated increased cortical activation. The latter is not surprising since even single-pulse TMS activates neurons, as demonstrated by skeletal muscle contractions arising from motor cortex stimulation. These two sets of findings are not inconsistent, since elevated baseline activation of neural tissue does not preclude and may even lead to reduced reactivity to physiological or electromagnetic inputs.

Clinical Studies of Slow rTMS

Findings of altered cortical physiology in the normal brain following slow rTMS have led to preliminary studies of motor hyperexcitability disorders, which are reviewed here and summarized in Table 2.

Action Myoclonus

Wedegaertner et al. (23) studied the effects of slow rTMS on action myoclonus. Slow rTMS was administered for 30 minutes daily. Two of the patients received stimulation for 5 days, and one patient received stimulation for 3 days. In addition, two patients also received single-blind sham stimulation before the active trial. The amplitude of action myoclonus was reduced by 33% with active stimulation, but no effects were detected with sham stimulation. The duration of effects was approximately 2 hours after each rTMS session.

Focal Dystonia

Writer's cramp is characterized by excessive muscular activation during writing. In some cases this condition is considered to be a focal dystonia when handwriting impairment is accompanied by impairment in other motor skills. This disorder can be studied by using paired-pulse TMS, an assessment of the degree to which a preliminary TMS pulse that is subthreshold (i.e., does not elicit a motor response) inhibits a subsequent TMS pulse that is suprathreshold (i.e., does elicit a motor response). Paired-pulse TMS inhibition is thought to reflect corticocortical inhibitory processes. Ridding et al. (34) found less inhibition in paired-pulse TMS among patients with writer's cramp than among normal comparison subjects. Other studies of patients with writer's cramp (35, 36) assessed the duration of the silent period of motor evoked potentials that ordinarily occurs when TMS is administered to the motor cortex while the corresponding muscle group is contracted voluntarily. These studies demonstrated a shorter silent period in patients with writer's cramp than

TABLE 2. Studies of Slow Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (rTMS) for Treatment of Clinical Conditions

Study	Illness	Site of Stimulation	Frequency (Hz)	Control Condition	Field Strength Relative to Motor Threshold (%)	Number of Stimulation Pulses Per Day	Number of Stimulation Days
Wedegaertner et al. (23)	Action myoclonus	Left motor cortex	1	Two of three subjects received single-blind stimulation of occipital cortex	110	1800	3–5
Siebner et al. (24)	Writer's cramp and focal dystonia	Left motor cortex	1	Some patients also received frontal sham stimulation	90	1800	1
Grisaru et al. (25)	PTSD	Right and left motor cortex	0.3	None	— ^a	600	1
McCann et al. (26)	PTSD	Right frontal cortex	1	None	80	1200	17–30
Steinhoff et al. (27)	Temporal lobe epilepsy	Vertex, inferior frontal cortex	0.3	None	— ^b	500	1
Tergau et al. (28)	Focal epilepsy	Vertex	0.3	None	—	1000	5
Speer et al. (29)	Depression	Left prefrontal cortex	1	Sham stimulation	100	1600	10
Klein et al. (30)	Depression	Right prefrontal cortex	1	Sham stimulation	110	120	10
Hoffman et al. (31)	Auditory hallucinations	Left temporoparietal cortex	1	Sham stimulation	80	240–960	4
Schoenfeldt-Lecuona et al. (32)	Auditory hallucinations	Speech-related cortex (according to fMRI)	1	Occipital cortex stimulation	90	960	5
Alonso et al. (33)	Obsessive-compulsive disorder	Right prefrontal cortex	1	Sham stimulation	110	1200	18

^a Threshold was 2.5 T.

^b Threshold was 0.75 to 1.05 T.

in normal comparison subjects, again providing evidence of reduced intracortical inhibition.

Such findings prompted Siebner et al. (24) to examine whether slow rTMS administered to the motor cortex could normalize impaired intracortical inhibition for this condition as well as improve handwriting. Using the paired-pulse method, they found that 1-Hz rTMS increased corticocortical inhibition in the dystonic group but not in a normal comparison group. In a second experiment, 16 patients were studied, eight patients with simple writer's cramp and eight patients with dystonic writer's cramp. Quality of handwriting, handwriting pressure, and duration of the post-TMS silent period during voluntary muscle contraction were assessed. Significant handwriting improvements following active rTMS were observed in eight patients and lasted at least 3 hours. Two of these subjects reported improvements that persisted over many days. Three of 10 patients reported some improvement after sham (placebo) rTMS, however.

Investigators have also begun to use slow rTMS to probe brain regions other than the motor cortex in various illnesses (Table 2).

PTSD

Grisaru et al. (25) reported a study of 10 patients with PTSD who received nonblind slow rTMS to both the left

and right motor cortex. Their symptoms transiently improved for 1–7 days after the trial. Functional neuroimaging studies (37–39) suggest that patients with PTSD have right-sided frontal activation. McCann et al. (26) consequently tested the effects of slow rTMS administered to the right prefrontal cortex of two PTSD patients once daily 17 and 30 times, respectively. Both patients demonstrated improvements in symptoms that lasted approximately 1 month after the trial. Baseline and follow-up PET scans revealed reductions in right-side cerebral metabolism following the rTMS trial. Insofar as a central symptom of PTSD is enhanced reactivity to perceived threat, these findings are consistent with the study of normal subjects demonstrating reduced attention to threatening faces after right prefrontal slow rTMS (16).

Auditory Hallucinations

Auditory hallucinations in patients with schizophrenia generally consist of spoken speech. This phenomenological feature suggests that the underlying mechanism involves activation of neural circuitry underlying speech perception, a view supported by the results of functional neuroimaging studies (40–43). These neuroimaging findings prompted a study of patients with auditory hallucinations by a group including one of us (31), in which slow rTMS was delivered to the left temporoparietal cortex, a

Observed Effects	Duration of Effects
Decrease in myoclonus	2 hours
Normalized corticocortical inhibition as assessed by paired-pulse method; handwriting improvement in eight of 16 patients	3 hours to several days
Decrease in PTSD symptoms	1–7 days
Decrease in PTSD symptoms	1 month
Decrease in frequency of epileptic spikes	5 minutes
Decrease in rate of seizures, although two of nine patients had seizures immediately after stimulation	6–8 weeks
Decrease in blood flow activation of right prefrontal cortex	Not reported
Decrease in depressive symptoms	Not reported
Decrease in severity of hallucinations	1 day to 7 weeks
Decrease in severity of hallucinations	Not reported
No change in symptoms	

brain region critically involved in speech perception (44, 45). By using a double-blind crossover design with a sham-stimulation control condition, the effects of 4, 8, 12, and 16 minutes of stimulation administered on four separate days were assessed. The improvements in auditory hallucinations were statistically greater for rTMS than for the sham condition, but only for rTMS involving a full 16 minutes of stimulation. The duration of improvement ranged from 1 day to 7 weeks. We have also completed a more extended protocol, in which a total of 132 minutes of stimulation was administered over 9 days (2002 unpublished study). The data suggest that this more extended course of slow rTMS produces more extended symptomatic improvements, lasting in many cases at least 3–4 months. Schoenfeldt-Lecuona et al. (32) reported a study in which 1-Hz rTMS was combined with functional neuroimaging in patients with auditory hallucinations. In this study the stimulation coil was positioned according to fMRI localization of brain activation when the subject was engaged in a phonological processing task. On the basis of these fMRI data, either the left temporal area or a frontal speech processing area was stimulated. Four of seven patients experienced improvement in hallucinations, while no improvement was observed after comparison stimulation of the occipital cortex.

Focal Epilepsy

To our knowledge, the first study examining effects of slow rTMS in focal epilepsy was reported by Steinhoff et al. (27). Seven patients with mesocortical limbic foci were studied. Magnetic stimulation was administered to the vertex or inferior frontal cortex. When administered to the hemisphere ipsilateral to the primary seizure focus, rTMS produced modest reductions in interictal EEG spikes. However, rTMS administered contralateral to the primary seizure focus produced a dramatic decrease of spikes. A second report (28) described nine patients with pharmacologically refractory focal epilepsy. Two trains of slow rTMS were delivered under open-label conditions each day for 5 days. Seizure frequency for the following 4 weeks was on average 39% less. After 6–8 weeks, seizure frequency returned to baseline levels.

Depression

Significant interest in the therapeutic potential of rTMS in psychiatry has arisen from double-blind studies suggesting efficacy in treating depression (46–48), although negative results have also been reported (49). Although most of these studies used fast stimulation to the prefrontal cortex, some depression studies used 1-Hz prefrontal rTMS and produced some evidence of efficacy (30, 50). One study (50) showed that depressed patients who responded to 1-Hz left prefrontal rTMS tended to have higher than normal neural activation at baseline. Reductions in right prefrontal blood flow were detected when these patients were reassessed 72 hours after completion of this stimulation protocol (29).

Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder

A nonblind case series (51) suggested that fast rTMS to the right prefrontal cortex might reduce symptoms of obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD). Consequently, Alfonso et al. (33) undertook a double-blind study in which slow rTMS was administered to this same brain region in OCD patients. This study did not demonstrate any greater improvement in patients randomly assigned to active treatment than in those who received sham stimulation. The authors suggested that their negative findings might be due to the fact that their site of stimulation did not access brain regions thought to play a central role in the genesis of OCD symptoms, such as the orbitofrontal and cingulate gyrus.

In summary, slow rTMS has been used in multiple studies for a range of neuropsychiatric disorders suggestive of neural hyperexcitability. Many, but not all, of these studies suggested improved symptoms, but many were limited by absent or incomplete control conditions and small numbers of subjects (Table 2). Preliminary results for patients with auditory hallucinations, PTSD, and focal epilepsy suggest a “dose-related” effect, with more sustained symptom curtailment emerging after a larger number of exposures to slow rTMS.

Mechanism of Action of Slow rTMS

To our knowledge, only one study to date has directly examined the effects of slow rTMS directly on cortical tissue (52). Rodent auditory cortex was studied by using a small device capable of delivering 1–2 seconds of magnetic stimulation in a highly localized fashion. Iterations of rTMS appeared to induce activating effects initially, which then evolved into sustained deactivation as assessed by the rate of evoked responses. Although the effects of rTMS with stimulation frequencies ranging from 1 to 10 Hz were studied, these effects were detected primarily at stimulation frequencies higher than 5 Hz. These data may not, however, be very relevant to rTMS studies in humans, since stimulation in humans is administered over much longer time periods (i.e., 15–30 minutes).

In keeping with the hypothesis of Post et al. (6), in the remainder of this section we will consider studies of experimentally induced synaptic modification known as long-term depression. Long-term depression is induced by low-frequency electrical stimulation (1–5 Hz) directly applied to afferent fibers. Such stimulation results in long-lasting decreases in synaptic transmission. Enduring modifications in synaptic strength, perhaps analogous to long-term depression and long-term potentiation, are hypothesized to mediate information storage in the brain. Consistent with this view is the fact that hippocampal long-term potentiation can endure over many weeks in unanesthetized rabbits—indeed for as long as the animal preparation can be sustained—if repeated stimulation trains are administered (53). Long-term depression has been observed *in vitro* in several rodent brain areas, such as the hippocampus, neocortex, striatum, amygdala, and cerebellum (54, 55). In slices taken from the human temporal cortex, both long-term potentiation and long-term depression are inducible with the same stimulation characteristics used in the rodent studies (56). In human neocortical slices long-term depression can be induced with low-frequency (1-Hz) 15-minute stimulation of layer IV afferents, and long-term potentiation can be induced with high-frequency stimulation (40–100 Hz). Furthermore, low-frequency stimulation is able to reverse high-frequency-induced potentiated synaptic responses, a phenomenon referred to as “depotential,” whereby synaptic weights are “reset” to baseline levels.

The fact that human neocortical synapses exhibit neuronal plasticity in response to high- and low-frequency electrical stimulation similar to that exhibited in rodent studies strengthens the hypothesis that these events contribute to naturally occurring human neuroplasticity. The credibility of long-term depression as a model of human neuroplasticity was initially questioned because of difficulties in inducing this phenomenon reliably in intact adult animals. More recent work demonstrated, however, that long-term depression is indeed inducible with traditional 1-Hz stimulation in the hippocampus and cortex of freely

moving rats, and once induced, it can last for several days (57–59).

There are many parallels between the slow rTMS administered to humans and the low-frequency electrical stimulation used to induce long-term depression. Both phenomena seem to obey similar frequency-dependent relationships. Low-frequency direct electrical stimulation (1–10 Hz) usually diminishes synaptic efficacy such that the magnitude and duration of long-term depression decrease as stimulation frequency increases from 1 Hz up to 10 Hz (60, 61). Analogously, cortical excitability following rTMS is decreased within that same frequency range (14). Motor cortex excitability following rTMS has been observed to be more consistently suppressed at 1-Hz stimulation than at frequencies higher than 5 Hz (14, 62). Higher-frequency stimulation (>10 Hz) produces increased synaptic efficacy after direct electrical stimulation (60) and increased cortical excitability after rTMS (22). Furthermore, both low-frequency electrical stimulation and slow rTMS can induce transsynaptic effects. Induction of long-term depression in the sensorimotor neocortex of the awake rat is accompanied by alterations on the contralateral side that resemble long-term depression, although these alterations are of shorter duration (59). As already discussed, 1-Hz rTMS has been shown to propagate across different components of motor circuits (9, 13, 15). In addition, the duration of stimulation required to reduce cortical excitability by slow rTMS (see reference 31) is similar to the duration of low-frequency electrical stimulation needed to induce long-term depression (approximately 15 minutes).

Neocortical long-term depression and changes in the cortical excitability induced by slow rTMS appear to accumulate in an additive fashion as the number of stimulations is increased over many days. Although *in vivo* hippocampal long-term depression is inducible with one session of stimulation, stable neocortical long-term depression requires that the stimulation is spaced and repeated over several days (59). In the sensorimotor cortex of freely moving rats, a single-session stimulation of the white matter with 1 Hz for 15 minutes leads to long-term depression lasting only hours, whereas daily stimulation for 10 days can induce long-term depression lasting for at least 2 weeks (59). A systematic study comparing the duration of changes in cortical excitability following one session of rTMS with the duration after multiple daily rTMS sessions is lacking. However, neuropsychiatric studies of enduring effects on mood have generally required several instances of stimulation on separate days (Table 2). In our more recent study of patients with auditory hallucinations, we found large increases in the duration of symptom improvement when the number of “doses” of extended stimulation (i.e., lasting 16 minutes) was increased from one to seven (unpublished 2002 study). Parallel trends in studies of PTSD and epilepsy have also emerged (Table 2). Thus, studies of both slow rTMS and long-term

depression suggest additive efficacy when higher numbers of spaced, daily stimulations are administered.

The reversal, or depotentiation, of previously enhanced synaptic transmission due to long-term potentiation may be the most relevant model for slow rTMS when used as a therapeutic tool. Human neural networks can undergo depotentiation, as is seen with 1-Hz electrical stimulation of already potentiated synapses in slices from the human temporal cortex (56). In rodent *in vivo* models, depotentiation of hippocampal long-term potentiation can be induced with low-frequency electrical stimulation, but only within a relatively short interval after induction of long-term potentiation (63, 64). Neocortical long-term potentiation seems to be more amenable to depotentiation, even several days after its induction. In one investigation (59), single-session low-frequency electrical stimulation of white cortical matter reversed potentiated synaptic responses that had been established for 8 days. Thus, long-term depotentiation sustained over many days may be more achievable than long-term depression.

In awake cats, 1-Hz stimulation of the amygdala caused a depotentiation that lasted for several days, with synaptic efficacy returning to a potentiated state roughly 70 days later (65). An "experimental pathology" studied with low-frequency electrical stimulation is kindled seizures. Daily 1-Hz electrical stimulation of the amygdala for 15 minutes over 1 week succeeded in suppressing kindled seizures in this brain region for 21 days (66). Low-frequency stimulation in this study did not disrupt any ongoing behavior, suggesting again that this manipulation may have selectively reversed pathologically enhanced excitation associated with the kindled response. Similarly, in the study of writer's cramp by Siebner et al. (24), alterations in inhibitory circuits following slow rTMS—as demonstrated by paired-pulse testing, the post-TMS silent period, and handwriting pressure—were elicited only in patients with dystonia (not in patients with simple writer's cramp). No changes in laboratory measures were noted in the normal comparison subjects. In our study of auditory hallucinations (unpublished 2002 study), there was a high rate of improvement of the hallucinations but no impairment in results on neuropsychological tests. One possible explanation is that slow rTMS selectively depotentiates enhanced synaptic weights associated with pathological states while leaving baseline weights unchanged.

It would be difficult to assert, however, that slow rTMS in human subjects causes long-term depression or depotentiation in the underlying cortex or its projections under all conditions. In animal studies using electrical stimulation of specific pathways, low-frequency electrical stimulation does not always cause long-term depression or depotentiation. It seems that specific frequencies induce distinct forms of synaptic plasticity in different synapses. Low-frequency electrical stimulation (0.5–10 Hz) causes enduring long-term depression in area CA1 of the hippo-

campus and in some neocortical areas (55, 60). Paradoxically, low-frequency electrical stimulation induces long-term potentiation in the prelimbic cortex and in CA1-subiculum synapses, the hippocampal output pathway to the cortex (67). Conversely, in a study of synapses arising from mossy fiber projections to the CA3 region of the hippocampus (68), high-frequency stimulation (100 Hz) resulted in long-term depression, while stimulation at 1–3 Hz had no effect. Long-term depression for this class of synapses was obtainable only with specific paired stimulation of two converging pathways, the commissural pathway and the mossy fibers. Even neighboring synapses follow different plasticity rules. In the dentate gyrus, 400-Hz stimulation to the lateral perforant pathway induced long-term potentiation in the synapses of the same pathway while inducing long-term depression in the neighboring medial perforant pathway (69). These findings arise from the fact that electrical stimulation studies are very selective, usually involving activation of a specific fiber pathway. In contrast, rTMS employs a coil applied to the scalp that activates a relatively large area of heterogeneous synapses and fiber pathways. Some of the affected pathways may be already potentiated, others depressed, and some may remain unchanged. A magnetic field pulse applied to a large area with propagated effects in even more distant areas is likely to produce different effects on different synapses depending on their synaptic weight at the time of stimulation, with far less specific effects than those produced by electrical stimulation.

The heterogeneous and relatively nonselective effects of rTMS may account for the heterogeneous responses or individual variability observed in humans (29, 70). In some scenarios, this may be an advantage in the sense that 1-Hz stimulation may depotentiate only the pathologically potentiated (baseline) synapses while leaving intact the nonpotentiated (baseline) synapses. Nonetheless, it seems clear that a simple model of slow rTMS based on long-term depression or long-term depotentiation cannot account for the extraordinary complexity of relevant cortical network physiology.

Genetic background and behavioral state affect the induction of long-term depression in awake animals (58, 61, 71). Only certain rat strains manifest lasting hippocampal depression; other strains receiving the same low-frequency electrical stimulation do not (61). Furthermore, larger and more lasting hippocampal depression is observed in rats exposed to behavioral stress (71) or novel environments (58). Other behavioral factors, such as the level of arousal, attention, and fatigue, may also influence the induction of long-term depression. Similarly, substantial individual variability with rTMS stimulation in human studies has also been reported (70). It may be important, therefore, to consider the genetic makeup and behavioral state of subjects in assessing outcomes of rTMS treatment.

Closing Comments

The results of this review suggest that slow rTMS has considerable promise as a tool for probing normal and abnormal brain function and may even lead to new therapeutic tools. A range of studies are indicated on the basis of the reports we have outlined.

First, additional slow rTMS studies of normal subjects involving brain areas other than the motor cortex are needed. One especially neglected area is assessment of delayed or sustained effects of slow rTMS in terms of neural reactivity to cognitive or external inputs. This area is especially relevant to therapeutic applications of slow rTMS that by definition require effects that are sustained. We know of one neuroimaging study of depressed patients (29) that assessed delayed effects of 1-Hz rTMS 3 days after completion of the protocol, and it showed reduced cortical activation. Similarly, the PTSD study by McCann et al. (26) showed reduced metabolic activation 90 minutes and 24 hours after completion of slow rTMS trials in two patients. These findings are consistent with clinical, behavioral, and motor studies suggesting sustained diminution of neural reactivity after slow rTMS. In contrast, neuroimaging studies that assessed immediate effects demonstrated increased activation after 1-Hz rTMS (5, 11, 17). The relationship between immediate and sustained or longer-term effects of slow rTMS needs further clarification. It is possible, for instance, that immediate activating effects of cortical neurons after slow rTMS accompany or lead to long-term depotentiation of pathologically reinforced neurocircuitry projections to these neurons.

Second, characterization of the indirect, propagated effects of slow rTMS is also critical. Neuroimaging data for patients with depression and epilepsy, for instance, have suggested that greater suppressive effects of 1-Hz rTMS are obtained in the cortical region contralateral to that being stimulated (29, 46). If indirect (i.e., propagated) effects of rTMS are distinct from direct effects, this finding would be important in designing intervention studies based on known cortical patterns of pathological activation/deactivation.

Third, intervention studies involving disease conditions need to include larger numbers of subjects and to have rigorous control conditions. The effects of varying stimulation intensity, duration, and location should be assessed. These studies are likely to be enhanced by study designs that are guided by the known regional pathophysiology of the disorder in question. It may be possible to enhance intervention outcomes by using patient-specific neuroimaging data to position the stimulation coil for therapeutic trials (32).

Fourth, there is a need for neurophysiological studies in animals that delineate the mechanism of action of slow rTMS in terms of the behavior of single neurons and alterations at the subcellular, molecular level. Of particular interest will be studies assessing whether scalp-adminis-

tered slow rTMS is able to produce long-term depotentiation of previously established long-term potentiation. The possibility that long-term potentiation is a model for pathophysiology is relatively unexplored (72) but consistent with the enduring nature of these experimental effects.

These research efforts, considered together, could provide important tools for probing and possibly treating a broad range of neuropsychiatric disorders expressing focal brain hyperexcitability.

Received July 19, 2001; revision received Dec. 3, 2001; accepted Jan. 9, 2002. From the Department of Psychiatry, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Conn. Address reprint requests to Dr. Hoffman, Yale-New Haven Psychiatric Hospital, LV108, 20 York St., New Haven CT 06504; ralph.hoffman@yale.edu (e-mail).

Supported by Young Investigator and Independent Investigator Awards from the National Alliance for Research on Schizophrenia and Depression, by a Donaghue Community and Clinical Issues Award, by NIMH grant MH-63326, by General Clinical Research Center program grant RR-00125 from the NIH Division of Research Resources and National Center for Research Resources, and by the State of Connecticut.

References

1. George MS, Wassermann EM, Post RM: Transcranial magnetic stimulation: a neuropsychiatric tool for the 21st century. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci* 1996; 8:373–382
2. George MS, Lisanby SH, Sackeim HA: Transcranial magnetic stimulation: applications in neuropsychiatry. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1999; 56:300–311
3. Cohen LG, Roth BJ, Nilsson J, Dang N, Panizza M, Bandinelli S, Friauf W, Hallett M: Effects of coil design on delivery of focal magnetic stimulation: technical considerations. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1990; 75:350–357
4. Rudick D, Marg E: Finding the depth of magnetic brain stimulation: a re-evaluation. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1994; 93:358–371
5. Fox P, Ingham R, George MS, Mayberg H, Ingham J, Roby J, Martin C, Jerabek P: Imaging human intra-cerebral connectivity by PET during TMS. *Neuroreport* 1997; 8:2787–2791
6. Post RM, Kimbrell TA, Frye M, George M, McCann U, Little J, Dunn R, Li H, Weiss SRB: Implications of kindling and quenching for the possible frequency dependence of rTMS. *CNS Spectrums* 1997; 2:54–60
7. Post RM, Kimbrell TA, McCann UD, Runn RT, Osuch EA, Speer AM, Weiss SR: Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation as a neuropsychiatric tool: present status and future potential. *J ECT* 1999; 15:39–56
8. Chen R, Classen J, Gerloff C, Celnik P, Wassermann EM, Hallett M: Depression of motor cortex excitability by low-frequency transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Neurology* 1997; 48:1398–1403
9. Wassermann EM, Wedegaertner FR, Ziemann UI, George MS, Chen R: Crossed reduction of human motor cortex excitability by 1-Hz transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Neurosci Lett* 1998; 250:141–144
10. Kimbrell TA, George MS, Danielson AL, Dunn RT, Benson BE, Little JT, Herscovitch P, Hallett M, Post RM, Wassermann EM: Changes in cerebral metabolism during transcranial magnetic stimulation (abstract). *Biol Psychiatry* 1997; 41:1085
11. Bohning DE, Shastri A, McConnell KA, Nahas Z, Lorberbaum JP, Roberts DR, Teneback C, Vincent DJ, George MS: A combined

- TMS/fMRI study of intensity-dependent TMS over motor cortex. *Biol Psychiatry* 1999; 45:385–394
12. Muellbacher W, Ziemann U, Boroojerdi B, Hallett M: Effects of low-frequency transcranial magnetic stimulation on motor excitability and basic motor behavior. *Clin Neurophysiol* 2000; 111:1002–1007
 13. Rossi S, Pasqualetti P, Rossini PM, Feige B, Olivelli M, Glocker FX, Battistini N, Lucking CH, Kristeva-Feige R: Effects of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on movement-related cortical activity in humans. *Cereb Cortex* 2000; 10:802–808
 14. Maeda F, Keenan JP, Tormos JM, Topka H, Pascual-Leone A: Modulation of corticospinal excitability by repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Clin Neurophysiol* 2000; 111:800–805
 15. Gerschlag W, Siebner H, Rothwell J: Decreased corticospinal excitability after subthreshold 1 Hz rTMS over lateral premotor cortex. *Neurology* 2001; 57:449–455
 16. D'Alfonso AAL, van Honk J, Hermans E, Postma A, de Haan EHF: Laterality effects in selective attention to threat after repetitive transcranial stimulation at the prefrontal cortex in female subjects. *Neurosci Lett* 2000; 280:195–198
 17. Nahas Z, Lomarev M, Roberts DR, Shastri A, Lorbermann JP, Teneback C, McConnell K, Vincent DJ, Li X, George MS, Bohning DE: Left prefrontal transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) produces intensity dependent bilateral effects as measured by interleaved BOLD fMRI. *Biol Psychiatry* 2001; 50:712–720
 18. Boroojerdi B, Prager A, Muellbacher W, Cohen LG: Reduction of human visual cortex excitability using 1-Hz transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Neurology* 2000; 54:1529–1531
 19. Pascual-Leone A, Gates JR, Dhuna A: Induction of speech arrest and counting errors with rapid-rate transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Neurology* 1991; 41:697–702
 20. Grafman J, Pascual-Leone A, Always D, Nichelli P, Gomez-Tortosa E, Hallett M: Induction of a recall deficit by rapid-rate transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Neuroreport* 1994; 5:1157–1160
 21. Paus T, Jech R, Thompson CJ, Comewau R, Peters T, Evans AC: Transcranial magnetic stimulation during positron emission tomography: a new method for studying connectivity of the human cerebral cortex. *J Neurosci* 1997; 17:3178–3184
 22. Pascual-Leone A, Valls-Solé J, Wassermann EM, Hallett M: Responses to rapid-rate transcranial magnetic stimulation of the human motor cortex. *Brain* 1994; 117:847–858
 23. Wedegaertner FR, Garvey MA, Cohen LG, Hallett M, Wassermann EM: Low frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation can reduce action myoclonus (abstract). *Neurology* 1997; 48:A119
 24. Siebner HR, Tormos JM, Ceballos-Baumann AO, Auer C, Catala MD, Conrad B, Pascual-Leone A: Low-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation of the motor cortex in writer's cramp. *Neurology* 1999; 52:529–537
 25. Grisar N, Amir M, Cohen H, Kaplan Z: Effect of transcranial magnetic stimulation in posttraumatic stress disorder: a preliminary study. *Biol Psychiatry* 1998; 44:53–55
 26. McCann UD, Kimbrell TA, Morgan CM, Geraci M, Benson BE, Wassermann EM, Willis MW, Post RM: Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for posttraumatic stress disorder (letter). *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1998; 55:277–279
 27. Steinhoff BJ, Stodieck SRG, Paulus W, Witt TN: Transcranial stimulation (letter). *Neurology* 1992; 42:1429–1430
 28. Tergau F, Naumann U, Paulus W, Steinhoff BJ: Low-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation improves intractable epilepsy (letter). *Lancet* 1999; 353:2209
 29. Speer AM, Kimbrell TA, Wassermann EM, Repella JD, Willis MW, Herscovitch P, Post RM: Opposite effects of high and low frequency rTMS on regional brain activity in depressed patients. *Biol Psychiatry* 2000; 48:1133–1141
 30. Klein E, Kreinin I, Chistyakov A, Koren D, Mecz L, Marmur S, Ben-Shachar D, Feinsod M: Therapeutic efficacy of right prefrontal slow repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in major depression: a double-blind controlled study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1999; 56:315–320
 31. Hoffman RE, Boutros NN, Hu S, Berman RM, Krystal JH, Charney DS: Transcranial magnetic stimulation and auditory hallucinations in schizophrenia. *Lancet* 2000; 355:1073–1075
 32. Schoenfeldt-Lecuona C, Herwig U, Groen G, Wunderlich AP, Spitzer M: fMRI guided neuronavigated transcranial magnetic stimulation in patients suffering from auditory hallucinations. *Schizophr Res* 2001; 49(suppl 1):244–245
 33. Alonso P, Pujol J, Cardoner N, Benlloch L, Deus J, Menchón JM, Capdevila A, Vallejo J: Right prefrontal repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in obsessive-compulsive disorder: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Am J Psychiatry* 2001; 158:1143–1145
 34. Ridding MC, Sheean G, Rothwell JC, Inzelberg R, Kujirai T: Changes in the balance between motor cortical excitation and inhibition in focal, task specific dystonia. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1995; 59:493–498
 35. Filipovic SR, Ljubicavljic M, Svetal M, Milanovic S, Kacar A, Kostic VS: Impairment of cortical inhibition in writer's cramp as revealed by changes in electromyographic silent period after transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Neurosci Lett* 1997; 222:167–170
 36. Mavrouidakis N, Caroyer JM, Brunko E, Zegers de Beyl D: Abnormal motor evoked responses to transcranial magnetic stimulation in focal dystonia. *Neurology* 1995; 45:1671–1677
 37. Shin LM, Kosslyn SM, McNally RJ, Alpert NM, Thompson WL, Rauch SL, Macklin ML, Pitman RK: Visual imagery and perception in posttraumatic stress disorder: a positron emission tomographic investigation. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1997; 54:233–241
 38. Rauch SL, van der Kolk BA, Fisler RE, Alpert NM, Orr SP, Savage CR, Fischman AJ, Jenike MA, Pitman RK: A symptom provocation study of posttraumatic stress disorder using positron emission tomography and script-driven imagery. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1996; 53:380–387
 39. van der Kolk BA, Burbridge JA, Suzuki J: The psychobiology of traumatic memory: clinical implications of neuroimaging studies. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 1997; 821:99–113
 40. Suzuki M, Yuasa S, Minabe Y, Murata M, Kuracki M: Left superior temporal blood flow increases in schizophrenic and schizophreniform patients with auditory hallucinations: a longitudinal case study using ¹²³I-IMP SPECT. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 1993; 242:257–261
 41. Silbersweig DA, Stern E, Frith C, Cahill C, Holmes A, Grootenck S, Seaward J, McKenna P, Chua SE, Schnorr L, Jones T, Frackowiak RSJ: A functional neuroanatomy of hallucinations in schizophrenia. *Nature* 1995; 378:176–179
 42. Dierks T, Linden DEJ, Jandl M, Formisano E, Goebel R, Lanfermann H, Singer W: Activation of Heschl's gyrus during auditory hallucinations. *Neuron* 1999; 22:615–621
 43. Lennox BR, Park SB, Medley I, Morris PG, Jones PB: The functional anatomy of auditory hallucinations in schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Res* 2000; 100:13–20
 44. Fiez JA, Raichle ME, Balota DA, Tallal P, Petersen SE: PET activation of posterior temporal regions during auditory word presentation and verb generation. *Cereb Cortex* 1996; 6:1–10
 45. Ojemann GA: Cortical organization of language. *J Neurosci* 1991; 11:2281–2287
 46. Pascual-Leone A, Rubio B, Pallardo F, Catala MD: Rapid-rate transcranial magnetic stimulation of left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in drug-resistant depression. *Lancet* 1996; 348:233–237

47. George MS, Wasserman EM, Kimbrell TA, Little JT, Williams WE, Danielson AL, Greenberg BD, Hallett M, Post RM: Mood improvement following daily left prefrontal repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in patients with depression: a placebo-controlled crossover trial. *Am J Psychiatry* 1997; 154:1752–1756
48. Berman RM, Narasimhan M, Sanacora G, Miano AP, Hoffman RE, Hu S, Charney DS, Boutros NN: A randomized clinical trial of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in the treatment of major depression. *Biol Psychiatry* 2000; 47:332–337
49. Loo C, Mitchell P, Sachdev P, McDermont B, Parker G, Gandevia S: Double-blind controlled investigation of transcranial magnetic stimulation for the treatment of resistant major depression. *Am J Psychiatry* 1999; 156:946–948
50. Kimbrell TA, Little JT, Dunn RT, Frye MA, Greenberg BD, Wassermann EM, Repella JD, Danielson AL, Willis MW, Benson BE, Speer AM, Osuch E, George MS, Post RM: Frequency dependence of antidepressant response to left prefrontal repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) as a function of baseline cerebral glucose metabolism. *Biol Psychiatry* 1999; 46:1603–1613
51. Greenberg BD, George MS, Martin JD, Benjamin J, Schlaepfer TE, Altemus M, Wasserman EM, Post RM, Murphy DL: Effect of prefrontal repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in obsessive-compulsive disorder: a preliminary study. *Am J Psychiatry* 1997; 154:867–869
52. Wang H, Wang X, Scheich H: LTD and LTP induced by transcranial magnetic stimulation in auditory cortex. *Neuroreport* 1996; 7:521–525
53. Bliss TVP, Gardner-Medwin AR: Long-lasting potentiation of the synaptic transmission in the dentate area of the unanesthetized rabbit following stimulation of the perforant path. *J Physiol* 1973; 232:357–374
54. Dudek SM, Bear MF: Homosynaptic long-term depression in area CA1 of hippocampus and effects of *N*-methyl-D-aspartate receptor blockade. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1992; 89:4363–4367
55. Linden DJ: Long-term synaptic depression in the mammalian brain. *Neuron* 1994; 12:457–472
56. Chen WR, Lee S, Kato K, Spencer DD, Shepherd GM, Williamson A: Long-term modifications of synaptic efficacy in the human inferior and middle temporal cortex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1996; 93:8011–8015
57. Heynen AJ, Abraham WC, Bear MF: Bidirectional modification of CA1 synapses in the adult hippocampus in vivo. *Nature* 1996; 381:163–166
58. Manahan-Vaughan D, Braunewell K-H: Novelty acquisition is associated with induction of hippocampal long-term depression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1999; 96:8739–8744
59. Froc DJ, Chapman CA, Trepel C, Racine RJ: Long-term depression and depotentiation in the sensorimotor cortex of the freely moving rat. *J Neurosci* 2000; 20:438–445
60. Bear MF: A synaptic basis for memory storage in the cerebral cortex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1996; 93:13453–13459
61. Manahan-Vaughan D: Long-term depression in freely moving rats is dependent upon strain variation, induction protocol and behavioral state. *Cereb Cortex* 2000; 10:482–487
62. Jennum P, Winkel H, Fuglsang-Frederiksen A: Repetitive magnetic stimulation and motor evoked potentials. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1995; 97:96–101
63. Kulla A, Reymann KG, Manahan-Vaughan D: Time-dependent induction of depotentiation in the dentate gyrus of freely moving rats: involvement of group 2 metabotropic glutamate receptors. *Eur J Neurosci* 1999; 11:3864–3872
64. Stäubli U, Scafidi J: Time-dependent reversal of long-term potentiation in area CA1 of the freely moving rat induced by theta pulse stimulation. *J Neurosci* 1999; 19:8712–8719
65. Adamec RE: Evidence that limbic neural plasticity in the right hemisphere mediates partial kindling induced lasting increases in anxiety-like behavior: effects of low frequency stimulation (quenching?) on long-term potentiation of amygdala efferents and behavior following kindling. *Brain Res* 1999; 839:133–152
66. Weiss SRB, Xiu-Li L, Rosen JB, Li H, Heynen T, Post RM: Quenching: inhibition of development and expression of amygdala kindled seizures with low frequency stimulation. *Neuroreport* 1995; 6:2171–2176
67. O'Mara SM, Commis S, Anderson M: Synaptic plasticity in the hippocampal area CA1-subiculum projection: implications for theories of memory. *Hippocampus* 2000; 10:447–456
68. Derrick BE, Martinez JL: Associative, bidirectional modifications at the hippocampal mossy fibre-CA3 synapse. *Nature* 1996; 381:429–434
69. Doyere V, Srebro B, Laroche S: Heterosynaptic LTD and depotentiation in the medial perforant path of the dentate gyrus in the freely moving rat. *J Neurophysiol* 1997; 77:571–578
70. Maeda F, Keenan JP, Tormos JM, Topka H, Pascual-Leone A: Interindividual variability of the modulatory effects of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on cortical excitability. *Exp Brain Res* 2000; 133:425–430
71. Xu L, Anwyl R, Rowan MJ: Behavioral stress facilitates the induction of long-term depression in the hippocampus. *Nature* 1997; 387:497–500
72. Post RM, Weiss SR: Emergent properties of neural systems: how focal molecular neurobiological alterations can affect behavior. *Dev Psychopathol* 1997; 9:907–929