disorder, the adoption of persistent dissociation within this diagnosis allows improved clinical utility and, therefore, strengthens the relevance of this diagnosis.

References

- Koopman C, Classen C, Cardena E, Spiegel D: When disaster strikes, acute stress disorder may follow. J Trauma Stress 1995; 8:29–46
- Rothbaum BO, Foa EB: Subtypes of posttraumatic stress disorder and duration of symptoms, in Posttraumatic Stress Disorder: DSM-IV and Beyond. Edited by Davidson JTR, Foa EB. Washington, DC, American Psychiatric Press, 1993, pp 23–35
- Marshall RD, Spitzer R, Liebowitz MR: Review and critique of the new DSM-IV diagnosis of acute stress disorder. Am J Psychiatry 1999; 156:1677–1685
- Harvey AG, Bryant RA: Acute stress disorder: a synthesis and critique. Psychol Bull 2002; 128:886–902
- Marshall GN, Schell TL: Reappraising the link between peritraumatic dissociation and PTSD symptom severity: evidence from a longitudinal study of community violence survivors. J Abnorm Psychol 2002; 111:626–636
- Brewin CR, Andrews B, Rose S, Kirk M: Acute stress disorder and posttraumatic stress disorder in victims of violent crime. Am J Psychiatry 1999; 156:360–366
- Murray J, Ehlers A, Mayou RA: Dissociation and post-traumatic stress disorder: two prospective studies of road traffic accident survivors. Br J Psychiatry 2002; 180:363–368
- 8. McNally RJ, Bryant RA, Ehlers A: Does early psychological intervention promote recovery from posttraumatic stress? Psychol Sci 2003: 4:45–79
- 9. O'Donnell ML, Creamer M, Bryant RA, Schnyder U, Shalev A: Posttraumatic disorders following injury: an empirical and methodological review. Clin Psychol Rev 2003; 23:587–603

MEAGHAN L. O'DONNELL, Ph.D. MARK CREAMER, Ph.D. Heidelberg, Victoria, Australia

Monotherapy Versus Polypharmacy for Hospitalized Psychiatric Patients

To the Editor: Franca Centorrino, M.D., et al. (1) observed that patients who received antipsychotic polypharmacy received a median total final antipsychotic dose that was 78% higher than in patients who received antipsychotic monotherapy, with no appreciable gain in clinical benefit. This was based on a relatively small number of patients (N=140) who were admitted to the hospital in 1998 for relatively brief lengths of stay (usually less than 1 month).

The dosing data is consistent with what we have observed within the 17 hospitals operated by the New York State Office of Mental Health, a system that serves seriously and persistently mentally ill patients for lengths of stay that can extend for many months. Prescribing and demographic information for all inpatients is available to us in a research database, and we have previously published reports of the co-prescribing of antipsychotics (2-4). An analysis of data for one calendar day (Nov. 15, 2003) revealed that the proportion of patients with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder receiving combinations of antipsychotics was 1,611 of 3,134 (51.4%), with 1,396 (44.5%) receiving two antipsychotics and 215 (6.9%) receiving three or more. The mean dose prescribed for monotherapy was 5.2 mg/day of risperidone, 23.7 mg/day of olanzapine, or 690.7 mg/day of quetiapine. When it was used in combination with other antipsychotics, the dose of 5.0 mg/day of risperidone, 22.8 mg/day of olanzapine, and 630.8 mg/day of quetiapine did not differ meaningfully from the monotherapy dose. Major dose reductions in either or both antipsychotic medications were not seen. A limitation to our 1-day snapshot—and also applicable to Dr. Centorrino's 3-day minimum observation period—was the lack of information on the extent to which antipsychotic co-prescribing is influenced by a cross-titration process that occurs during the switching of antipsychotics rather than a deliberate long-term plan.

At present, whether antipsychotic co-prescribing is clinically more efficacious or not remains unknown. A major confound that will need to be addressed, especially among the seriously and persistently ill in our state institutions, is that the purported association between polypharmacy and poor outcome does not necessarily mean that polypharmacy leads to poor outcome but that poor outcome may lead to aggressive prescribing that includes high doses and polypharmacy. This is the same type of confound that has plagued dose-response studies with flexible rather than fixed-dose designs. Although Dr. Centorrino argued that there is probably no benefit, it remains to be tested using an appropriately designed (randomized, double-blind, fixed-dose) clinical trial.

References

- Centorrino F, Goren JL, Hennen J, Salvatore P, Kelleher JP, Baldessarini RJ: Multiple versus single antipsychotic agents for hospitalized psychiatric patients: case-control study of risks versus benefits. Am J Psychiatry 2004; 161:700–706
- Citrome L, Levine J, Allingham B: Changes in use of valproate and other mood stabilizers for patients with schizophrenia from 1994 to 1998. Psychiatr Serv 2000; 51:634–638
- Citrome L, Jaffe A, Levine J: Datapoints: use of mood stabilizers among patients with schizophrenia 1994–2001. Psychiatr Serv 2002; 53:1212
- Jaffe AB, Levine J: Antipsychotic medication coprescribing in a large state hospital system. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 2003; 12:41–48

LESLIE CITROME, M.D., M.P.H. ARI JAFFE, M.D. JEROME LEVINE, M.D. Orangeburg, N.Y.

TO THE EDITOR: Dr. Centorrino et al. are to be commended for addressing an important issue that is becoming more and more a focus of clinical concern, given the growing use of multiple antipsychotic medications administered simultaneously (1). This has increasingly become the case, given the favorable side effect profile of atypical antipsychotic medications, which allow combination strategies to be considered more readily as an option. However, while the authors imply that short-term combination treatment strategies are associated with "major increases in drug exposure, adverse events, and time in the hospital but with no apparent gain in clinical benefit" (p. 700), the authors failed to consider seriously the option that this patient subpopulation receives only antipsychotic combinations because it does not respond to single antipsychotic medications after a period of time and may therefore be considered treatment resistant. Thus, they may fall into a subclass of schizophrenia patients who exhibit a biological subtype of the illness that is treatment resistant and more prone to side effects (in addition to higher doses and a