Are Gender Differences Important for the Clinical Effects of Antidepressants?
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Objective: Gender differences in antidepressant treatment response, side effects, dropout rates, and plasma concentrations were examined in patients with major and predominantly melancholic depression.

Method: The study included a subgroup of 292 inpatients (96 men, 196 women) from three Danish double-blind, randomized, controlled trials. All patients completed a 5-week treatment period and fulfilled the DSM-III or DSM-III-R criteria for major depression. Clomipramine (150 mg/day) was the reference treatment, and comparable treatments were citalopram (40 mg/day), paroxetine (30 mg/day), and moclobemide (400 mg/day). Assessments were performed by using the 17-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale and the Udvalg for Kliniske Undersøgelser Side Effect Rating Scale. In a subgroup of 110 patients, weekly measurements of clomipramine plasma concentrations were obtained. Nonparametric statistical tests and multiple linear and logistic regression models were used for statistical evaluations.

Results: Both genders had similar remission rates (Hamilton depression scale score <8) when treated with clomipramine and had significantly higher remission rates with clomipramine than with the comparable treatments. The plasma concentrations of clomipramine were significantly higher for female than for male patients. No gender differences were found in post-treatment Hamilton depression scale scores, nor did the therapeutic effects of treatment depend on gender. Rates of dropout and side effects were similar for men and women. No relationship between plasma concentrations, gender, and therapeutic outcome was found.

Conclusions: In a group of patients with major and predominantly melancholic depression, differentiation according to gender was not important in treatment with common antidepressants. Women appeared to have higher plasma concentrations of tricyclic antidepressants than men. The consequences of this difference for clinical effects are unclear. Gender-specific recommendations for dosing of tricyclic antidepressants may be considered.

The role of gender differences in depressive disorders has been extensively studied in the psychiatric literature. The gender ratio (male:female) in the prevalence of major depression is roughly constant at 1:2 (1–3).

Very little attention has thus far been paid to potential gender differences regarding the clinical effects of antidepressant treatment. The authors of a recent review of pharmacological treatment of depression concluded, “There are data supporting sex differences in the activity of various antidepressant-metabolizing enzymes. However, there is a paucity of investigation regarding how these differences might translate into differences in clinical efficacy” (4). An earlier commentary noted the lack of attention to gender differences in the clinical evaluation of drugs: “There is little work using existing databases to perform the subgroup analyses recommended by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)” (5).

To our knowledge, only three studies (6–8) have explicitly analyzed the role of gender differences in the response to antidepressant treatment. Two of the studies reported on subgroups of depressive patients, i.e., patients with major chronic or double depression (7) and patients with atypical depression (6). The two studies compared tricyclic antidepressants with either selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) (7) or monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAOIs) (6). In both studies tricyclic antidepressants were found to be superior to comparable treatments in men. In contrast, the comparable treatments were found to be superior to tricyclic antidepressants in women. In the third study (8), a total of 1,746 subjects from a depression outpatient clinic were examined with respect to treatment effect of tricyclic antidepressants, MAOIs, SSRIs, or placebo. The authors found that women and men had similar response rates to tricyclic antidepressants and SSRIs and that women had a statistically superior response to MAOIs, which, however, may not have been clinically relevant. Regarding gender differences in the metabolism of tricyclic antidepressants, other studies (9, 10) have reported higher plasma concentrations of tricyclic antidepressants in women than in men.

The goal of the present study was to analyze potential gender differences in clinical effects of antidepressant
TABLE 1. Characteristics of Three Double-Blind, Randomized, Controlled Trials Comparing Antidepressants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of Patients at Baseline (n)</th>
<th>Patients’ Age (Mean, SD)</th>
<th>Female Gender (%)</th>
<th>Study Period (weeks)</th>
<th>Treatment Period (weeks)</th>
<th>Antidepressant 1</th>
<th>Antidepressant 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study 1 (11)</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>48.3, 11.6</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Clomipramine, 150 mg/day</td>
<td>Citalopram, 150 mg/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study 2 (12)</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>48.5, 11.5</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Clomipramine, 150 mg/day</td>
<td>Paroxetine, 30 mg/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study 3 (13)</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>51.9, 11.9</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Clomipramine, 150 mg/day</td>
<td>Moclobemide, 400 mg/day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a The three studies reported descriptive data for a total of 349 patients at baseline but analyzed outcome data for a total of 351 patients.

TABLE 2. Timing of Clinical Evaluations and Plasma Monitoring in Three Double-Blind, Randomized, Controlled Trials Comparing Antidepressants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>1-Week Placebo Washout Period</th>
<th>Treatment Period Week</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Newcastle 1 Scalea</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton Depression Rating Scale</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Udvalg for Kliniske Undersøgelse Side Effect Rating Scale</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma monitoringd</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a All evaluations/measurements were made at the end of the marked weeks.

b Scores on the Newcastle 1 Scale were used to classify the patients into two groups: those with endogenous/melancholic depression (score ≥5.5) and those with nonendogenous/nonmelancholic depression (score <5.5).

c The current analysis included results from a 5-week treatment period.

d Plasma monitoring data from two studies (12, 13) (N=110) were available for the current analysis.

Inclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria were a DSM-III or DSM-III-R diagnosis of depression requiring antidepressant treatment and a total score of ≥18 on the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (14, 15) and/or a total score of ≥9 on a subscale of the Hamilton depression scale (16).

Exclusion Criteria

Exclusion criteria were age <19 years or >65 years (11), ≥68 years (12), or ≥70 years (13); duration of present depressive episode >12 months; a diagnosis of schizophrenia, paranoid psychoisis, oligophrenia, or organic brain syndrome; chronic drug or alcohol abuse; serious somatic disease; pregnancy or puerperium (≤2 months postpartum); and severe suicidality or mental retardation and the consequent requirement to use of ECT to treat the depression. Patients were selected on the basis of the presence of major depression without consideration of the presence or lack of previous manic episodes, which were not systematically recorded. The development of mania during the study period resulted in the patient being excluded from the study.

Study Design

Eligible patients underwent a 7-day patient-blind placebo washout period. Diagnostic and quantitative depression ratings were performed at the end of the placebo week. Patients still fulfilling the inclusion criteria were stratified according to diagnostic rating (melancholic/nonmelancholic) and clinical center before being randomly allocated to treatment for 5 (11) or 6 (12, 13) weeks, respectively. A 5-week treatment period was examined in the present analysis.

Patients

A total of 351 inpatients (115 men, 236 women) were started on active treatment. Of those, 292 patients (96 men, 196 women) completed 5 weeks of active treatment. The number of patients varied slightly by analysis because fewer patients completed the diagnostic rating (N=273) and the Udvalg for Kliniske Undersøgelse (UKU) Side Effect Rating Scale (17) rating (N=286). Clomipramine plasma concentration data from two of the studies (12, 13) were available for the present analysis (N=110).

Study Medications

Patients were randomly assigned to receive either standard treatment or comparable treatment. The standard fixed-dose treatment was 150 mg/day of clomipramine. The comparable treatments were 40 mg/day of citalopram (11), 30 mg/day of paroxetine (12), and 400 mg/day of moclobemide (13). Additional medication was restricted to the occasional use of oxazepam as sedative/hypnotic. Chronically used medications such as oral contraceptives were allowed, provided the medication was not started during the study periods. Antipsychotics and barbiturates were not allowed.

Clinical Assessments

Time intervals for clinical assessments are given in Table 2. Qualitative ratings were carried out by using the Newcastle diagnostic depression scales (18, 19). The patients’ scores on the Newcastle 1 Scale were used to classify the patients into two groups: endogenous/melancholic, for patients with a score ≥5.5, and nonendogenous/nonmelancholic, for patients with a score <5.5. Quantitative ratings were carried out by using the 17-item Hamilton depression scale, and side effect ratings were made with the UKU Side Effect Rating Scale. The side effects considered were tremor, visual accommodation disturbances, reduced salivation,
orthostatic dizziness, palpitations/tachycardia, and increased tendency to sweat.

Reliability

Joint rating sessions were held during the study periods. The intraclass correlation coefficients (20) were between 0.35 and 0.76 for the Newcastle 1 Scale and between 0.75 and 0.86 for the Hamilton depression scale.

Plasma Drug Concentrations

Plasma drug concentrations were measured in blood collected at weekly intervals during the study period (N=110). Clomipramine and desmethylclomipramine were assayed by high-performance liquid chromatography (21).

Ethics and Consent

Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects after complete description of the study. The regional Ethics Committees approved all three protocols.

Effect Measures

Rate of remission (an endpoint Hamilton depression scale total score <8), rate of response (a 50% reduction in Hamilton depression scale score from baseline to endpoint), and difference in Hamilton depression scale score from baseline to endpoint (endpoint minus baseline Hamilton depression scale total score) were used as the primary effect measures. Dropout rates, the prevalence of six relevant side effects, and a factor analysis of Hamilton depression scale scores were used as secondary effect measures. In addition, gender differences in clomipramine plasma concentration and the relation between plasma concentration, gender, and therapeutic outcome were analyzed.

Statistical Analysis

Gender differences in effect measures were analyzed by using data from study completers. The principle of last observation carried forward was used for analyses of data on clomipramine concentrations. Nonparametric statistical tests were used for simple statistical evaluations. The Mann Whitney U test or Kruskal-Wallis test was used for continuous variables, and the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables. All tests were two-tailed.

Logistic regression models were used when testing for gender differences in rates of dropout, response, and remission. Multiple linear regression models, were used when testing for gender differences in Hamilton depression scale difference scores, the interaction of gender and type of antidepressant treatment, and gender differences in clomipramine plasma concentrations. In all regression models the robust variance estimation was used, as provided by Stata's robust and cluster option (Stata Corp., College Station, Tex.), thus relaxing the assumptions of constant variance of observations and of Gaussian distribution of residuals and allowing dependence among the error terms for a subject at different time points. Treatment (four groups: clomipramine, citalopram, paroxetine, and moclobemide), age, and presence of melancholic depression were included in all models when testing for gender differences in effect measures. In the interaction models, the effects of the two SSRIs (citalopram and paroxetine) were analyzed together for additional power. When testing for gender differences in clomipramine plasma concentrations, age and weight were included in the analysis.

Baseline Hamilton depression scale scores were analyzed by factor analysis (varimax analysis). The number of factors to be retained was decided from a scree plot. A cutoff value of >0.30 or <0.30 defined the significance of the factor loadings. Factor scores were calculated at baseline and endpoint.

Results

Baseline Characteristics and Endpoint Hamilton Depression Scale Total Score

The baseline characteristics and the mean endpoint Hamilton depression scale total scores for the treatment and gender groups are shown in Table 3. Higher proportions of male (81%) than female (70%) patients fulfilled the criteria for melancholic depression ($\chi^2=3.7, df=1, p=0.05$). There were no gender differences in Hamilton depression scale baseline ($z=-0.7, p=0.50$) or endpoint scores ($z=-0.1, p=0.88$). Previous depressive episodes were more prevalent among female (13.1%) than among male (5.5%) patients, but the difference was not statistically significant ($\chi^2=4.6, df=2, p=0.10$).

Primary Effect Measures

Remission rates. Both genders had significantly higher rates of remission (Hamilton depression scale score <8) when treated with clomipramine than with the comparable treatments ($\chi^2=5.4, df=1, p=0.02$). The remission rates were similar for male (31.3%) and female (30.1%) patients. Logistic regression analysis showed no significant gender differences in remission rates (odds ratio=1.08, 95% confidence interval [CI]=0.61–1.91).

Response rates. The rate of response (50% reduction in Hamilton depression scale score from baseline to endpoint) was 56.3% for male and 53.3% for female patients. Gender differences in response rates were nonsignificant when tested in a logistic regression model (odds ratio=0.98, 95% CI=0.57–1.69).

Hamilton depression scale difference scores. The weekly differences in Hamilton depression scale total scores for male and female patients are shown in Figure 1.
GENDER AND ANTIDEPRESSANTS

There was an approximately linear decrease in Hamilton depression scale total scores from baseline to endpoint for both men and women. At endpoint the mean Hamilton depression scale difference score was –11.6 (SD=7.7) for female and –12.1 (SD=6.9) for male patients. Gender effects were nonsignificant when tested in a multiple linear regression model (difference=0.56, 95% CI=–0.55 to 1.66).

### Interaction between gender and type of treatment.

The mean Hamilton depression scale difference scores (from baseline to endpoint) are listed by gender and treatment group in Table 4. The interaction of gender and treatment was nonsignificant when tested in a multiple linear regression model (p>0.10). When the remission rates or response rates were used as effect measures, again no interaction between gender and treatment was found.

### Secondary Effect Measures

**Dropouts.** Dropout rates by gender and treatment are shown in Table 5. The total number of dropouts was 59 (19 men, 40 women). Overall dropout rates were similar for male and female patients (χ²=0.01, df=1, p=0.92), as they were for the particular treatment groups. In the clomipramine group the main reason for dropout was severe side effects, particularly orthostatic hypotension or palpitations. In the three other treatment groups, the main reason for dropout was “no effect of treatment” or “worsening of depression” (11–13).

**Side effects.** Gender differences in the prevalence of side effects at baseline and after 4 weeks of treatment are shown in Figure 2. Of the six UKU side effects analyzed, tremor was more prevalent in female (42%) than in male (33%) patients, whereas orthostatic dizziness was more prevalent in male (33%) than in female (24%) patients after 4 weeks of treatment. However, in the pooled sample and in each treatment group, all gender differences were statistically nonsignificant (p>0.09, chi-square test).

**Factor analysis.** The factor analysis of Hamilton depression scale scores produced four significant factors explaining 42% of the variance. An anxiety factor (agitation, psychic and somatic anxiety), a sleep factor (initial, middle, and delayed insomnia), a somatic factor (gastrointestinal and general somatic symptoms, hypochondriasis, loss of insight, and loss of weight), and a depression factor (depression, guilt, suicidal ideation or behavior, effects on work/interests, and retardation) were produced. Male and female patients had similar scores on all factors at baseline and endpoint. The difference scores (endpoint minus baseline scores) were similar for male and female patients. All gender differences were nonsignificant (p>0.05, Mann-Whitney U test).

---

**TABLE 3. Baseline and Endpoint Hamilton Depression Rating Scale Scores, by Treatment and Gender, in Patients With Predominantly Melancholic Depression in Three Double-Blind, Randomized, Controlled Trials Comparing Antidepressants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study and Treatment or Gender Group</th>
<th>All Patients (N=292)</th>
<th>Patients With a Diagnosis of Melancholic Depression (N=202)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clomipramine</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citalopram</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clomipramine</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paroxetine</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clomipramine</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moclobemide</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All studies: gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Significant gender difference (χ²=3.7, df=1, p=0.05).

*b Significant difference among the six treatment groups (χ²=17.8, df=5, p=0.001, Kruskal-Wallis test).

*c Significant difference among the six treatment groups (χ²=28.9, df=5, p<0.0001, Kruskal-Wallis test).
TABLE 4. Baseline-to-Endpoint Changes in Hamilton Depression Rating Scale Scores, by Treatment and Gender, in Patients With Predominantly Melancholic Depression (N=292) in Three Double-Blind, Randomized, Controlled Trials Comparing Antidepressants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study and Treatment Group</th>
<th>Baseline-to-Endpoint Difference in Total Score on the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male Mean SD</td>
<td>Female Mean SD</td>
<td>Total Mean SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clomipramine (N=51)</td>
<td>-15.0 6.0</td>
<td>-12.3 8.6</td>
<td>-13.1 7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citalopram (N=50)</td>
<td>-12.1 7.2</td>
<td>-9.6 8.4</td>
<td>-10.4 8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clomipramine (N=43)</td>
<td>-12.4 8.4</td>
<td>-14.6 7.0</td>
<td>-13.8 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paroxetine (N=53)</td>
<td>-8.7 6.3</td>
<td>-10.7 6.7</td>
<td>-10.0 6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clomipramine (N=50)</td>
<td>-13.0 6.1</td>
<td>-12.0 7.4</td>
<td>-12.4 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moclobemide (N=45)</td>
<td>-11.4 7.1</td>
<td>-11.3 7.5</td>
<td>-11.3 7.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| a Nonsignificant interaction of gender and treatment (p>0.05, multiple linear regression model).

Additional Analyses

Clomipramine plasma concentration. Figure 3 shows the weekly measures of clomipramine plasma concentration for male (N=45) and female (N=65) patients. Mean plasma concentrations varied from 613 to 1022 nmol/liter for female patients and from 446 to 693 nmol/liter for male patients. When tested in a multiple linear regression model, the difference in plasma concentration between men and women was statistically significant (p<0.0001). Plasma concentrations were a mean of 309 nmol/liter (95% CI=156–464) higher for female than for male patients.

Relationship between plasma concentration level, gender, and outcome. There was no correlation between plasma concentrations and Hamilton depression scale difference scores (r=–0.10, N=58, p=0.5). In stratified groups of male (r=–0.25, N=24, p=0.3) and female patients (r=0.14, N=34, p=0.5), the correlations were also nonsignificant.

Discussion

Gender Differences in Clinical Effects of Antidepressant Treatment

Our analyses showed similar clinical effects of antidepressant treatment for male and female patients with major and predominantly melancholic depression. There were no gender differences with respect to the primary effect measures (Hamilton depression scale difference scores, response and remission rates as measured with the Hamilton depression scale) or secondary effect measures (dropout rates, side effects, and factor analysis).

Other studies have shown a more favorable effect of tricyclic antidepressants for men (6, 7, 9) and a more favorable effect of SSRIs (7) and MAOIs (6) for women.

Kornstein et al. (7) examined gender differences in treatment response to the SSRI, sertraline (mean dose of 140 mg/day), versus the tricyclic antidepressant, imipramine (mean dose of 200 mg/day). They reported on 635 patients with chronic depression or major depression super-imposed on dysthymia. Men had a higher response rate (57%) than women (46%) while taking imipramine, and women had a higher response rate (62%) than men (45%) while taking sertraline. In addition to the gender differences in treatment response, women who were taking imipramine and men who were taking sertraline were more likely to withdraw from the study. One explanation for their findings regarded depressive subtypes. Kornstein et al. (7) argued that women were more likely to have atypical depressive symptoms (22), which have been shown to respond preferentially to SSRI s or MAOI s (23, 24), and that men often have more classic “neurovegetative features” of depression, which are responsive to tricyclic antidepressants (25, 26).

Davidson and Pelton (6) reported on 151 patients with atypical depression. They pooled treatment data for phenelzine and isocarboxazid as MAOI treatment and data for imipramine and amitriptyline as tricyclic antidepressant treatment. In a subsample (N=48) of patients with associated panic attacks, they found that MAOI s were superior to tricyclic antidepressants in women and that tricyclic antidepressants were superior to MAOI s in men. The authors (6) pointed out that the number of subjects in their study was small and that their findings needed further confirmation.

Hamilton et al. (9) analyzed gender differences in treatment response to imipramine (a tricyclic antidepressant) in a meta-analysis of 180 studies published between 1957 and 1991. Thirty-five studies reported imipramine treatment response rates separately by gender. In 53% of the 35 studies, men showed more benefit from imipramine than women. In 19% of the studies, women received more benefit than men, and in 28% of the studies, no gender difference was observed. They argued that although the meta-analysis of gender and imipramine was comprehensive, it combined studies that used different diagnostic criteria and methods. They suggested that the apparent gender difference in treatment response to imipramine resulted from the inclusion of relatively heterogeneous populations in early clinical trials. They concluded that gender differences in imipramine outcome might have been an artifact of the inclusion of more women with atypical, dys-
thymic, or anxious depression and of more men with melancholic or endogenous depression (9).

Quitkin et al. (8) reported on a large sample of 1,746 outpatients and found no clinically relevant gender differences in treatment response to tricyclic antidepressants, MAOIs, SSRIs, or placebo. Based on the results of studies previously described, they concluded that men might have a slightly better outcome with imipramine, but that it is unclear whether this small advantage is a result of pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, or diagnostic differences.

In summary, the studies discussed in this section indicated that the finding of men’s better treatment response to tricyclic antidepressants may be confounded by gender differences in distribution of depressive subtypes in the study samples. There may have been relatively more male patients with the neurovegetative or melancholic subtype of depression and relatively more female patients with the atypical or anxious subtype of depression. These uneven gender distributions of depressive subtypes may have given rise to the findings of gender differences in response to different types of antidepressants.

In the present study, we examined a more homogeneous subgroup of hospitalized patients with major and predominantly melancholic depression. Patients were stratified according to melancholic or nonmelancholic depression before being randomly assigned to treatment. A larger proportion of male patients (81%) had melancholic depression, compared with female patients (70%). To avoid the confounding effects of this difference, we adjusted for melancholia in the analyses.

However, this restriction of the subjects to patients with major and predominantly melancholic depression may have been a limitation of this study. Previously we showed that this group of hospitalized patients, who were participating in controlled clinical trials, differed significantly from outpatient subjects in the distribution of melancholic depression (27). Furthermore, previous findings suggested that in this group with major and predominantly melancholic depression, tricyclic antidepressants seem to be the most effective treatment, more effective than SSRIs (27, 28).

In the studies used for the present analysis, clomipramine was chosen as the comparison pro-drug because it has the most potent action on 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) of any classic tricyclic antidepressant. Furthermore, it is similar to other types of tricyclic antidepressants (28).

**Gender Differences in Plasma Concentrations of Tricyclic Antidepressant**

Our analyses showed that female patients had significantly higher clomipramine plasma concentrations than male patients (Figure 3). However, no relationship between plasma concentration, gender, and therapeutic outcome was found. In concordance with our findings, other studies have reported higher plasma concentrations of tricyclic antidepressants in women than in men (9, 10).

Hamilton et al. (9) included in their meta-analysis 12 studies reporting imipramine steady-state plasma concentrations. They found that women had significantly higher dose-adjusted plasma concentrations than men. Since men on average have a higher body weight and thereby a higher volume of distribution, an analysis that controls the effects of weight might find a smaller gender difference in dose-adjusted plasma concentrations. In three of the studies included in the meta-analysis by Hamilton et al. (9), dose and weight were controlled in determining differences in imipramine plasma concentrations. It appeared that the dose-by-weight adjustment removed the effect of gender in the three studies. However, the authors concluded that there was some support for the hypothesis that clearance of
tricyclic antidepressants is slower in women. They suggested that, although data are scant, the use of oral contraceptives and hormonal replacement therapy may further increase the absolute bioavailability of tricyclic antidepressants. Finally, they concluded that little is known about the effects of the menstrual cycle and menopause on the pharmacokinetics of tricyclic antidepressants.

The review article by Frackiewicz et al. (10) discussed gender differences in depression and in antidepressant pharmacokinetics and adverse events. Based on nine studies reporting higher plasma concentrations of tricyclic antidepressants in women, they concluded that dose adjustment might be necessary for women to ensure a favorable treatment response, compliance, and a low incidence of adverse events. In the present analysis we also found higher plasma concentrations of tricyclic antidepressants in women than in men. However, our results do not entirely suggest that a dose adjustment should be required to ensure the clinical outcomes of treatment with tricyclic antidepressants. Additional studies examining the pharmacokinetics and clinical effects of antidepressants are needed before any conclusions on this topic can be made.

To our knowledge, only one study (29) has analyzed the pharmacokinetics of clomipramine in relation to gender. In this drug monitoring analysis, the researchers found that women had a significantly lower hydroxylation clearance of clomipramine than men. Potential reasons for our finding in the present study of women's higher plasma concentrations could be related to time-of-day variance or gender differences in pharmacokinetics influenced by the varying hormonal milieu in women. Medication was given at exact hours, and likewise plasma concentrations were measured at exact hours, so time-of-day variance is quite unlikely. Gender differences in pharmacokinetics influenced by the varying hormonal milieu in women are a considerably more reasonable explanation.

Pharmacokinetics can be divided into the subprocesses of absorption, bioavailability, distribution, and metabolism. There are several sites for potential gender differences within these subprocesses. Absorption of a drug is dependent on its acid-base and lipophilic properties and on the physiology of the gastrointestinal tract. It has been suggested that women may secrete less gastric acid than men, leading to potential gender differences in absorption (30). A decrease in gastric acid would lead to an increase in absorption of weak bases such as the tricyclic antidepressants. Other sites for potential gender differences in absorption and bioavailability are gastric emptying and gastrointestinal transit time. The gastrointestinal transit time may be prolonged in women during the premenstrual phase (31). The distribution of a drug is dependent on the drug's acid-base properties, water and lipid solubility, and affinity for binding proteins. Potential gender differences in blood volume, cardiac output, and percentage of lean body mass could affect the volume of distribution of a drug. The larger blood volume and cardiac output of male subjects and the lower ratio of lean body mass to adipose tissue for female subjects would generally increase the volume of distribution of lipophilic drugs such as the tricyclic antidepressants. The main gender-related metabolic factors that can affect the metabolism of tricyclic antidepressants are first-pass metabolism and the activity of some antidepressant metabolizing enzymes of the cytochrome P450 group. Significant differences according to gender are found for the hydroxylation and elimination of hydroxy metabolites of clomipramine; a lower hydroxylation clearance has been found for female than for male subjects (29).

The varying hormonal milieu in women may lead to possible menstrual-phase-specific changes that can affect the pharmacokinetics of psychotropic drugs. In addition, the use of oral contraceptives and hormonal replacement therapy may exert an influence on the pharmacokinetics of psychotropic drugs (9, 32). Unfortunately, data on menstrual cycle, menopausal status, and the use of oral contraceptives and hormonal replacement therapy were not available in the present study. The varying hormonal milieu in women may also lead to gender differences in pharmacodynamics of psychotropic drugs. However, it is unlikely that such differences could account for the higher plasma concentrations of tricyclic antidepressants in women. Female sex hormones have been found to modulate the function of some major neurotransmitters (e.g., γ-aminobutyric acid, dopamine, serotonin) (9), and it is reasonable to expect that the varying hormonal milieu might influence the clinical profile in women. Despite this likelihood, to our knowledge, no publications have addressed gender differences in the pharmacodynamics of psychotropic medication in relation to clinical effects.

In conclusion, to verify that the gender-related pharmacokinetic effects are clinically relevant, it will be necessary to show that they are associated with gender-related differences in therapeutic outcome. In the present study, we found no such relationship with therapeutic outcome. Additional larger studies are needed to examine the pharmacokinetics of antidepressants with dose-by-weight adjustment and to explore the concentration-effect relationship with controls for the effects of hormonal factors in women. In addition, studies examining the pharmacodynamics of antidepressants, with controls for the effects of hormonal factors in women, must produce findings of gender-related differences in clinical profile before conclusions on this topic can be drawn.
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